

Hydrosilylation



How to cite:

International Edition: doi.org/10.1002/anie.202007930 German Edition: doi.org/10.1002/ange.202007930

Ligand-Regulated Regiodivergent Hydrosilylation of Isoprene under Iron Catalysis

Chang-Sheng Kuai⁺, Ding-Wei Ji⁺, Chao-Yang Zhao, Heng Liu, Yan-Cheng Hu, and Qing-An Chen*

Abstract: A method for the regiodivergent and stereoselective hydrosilylation of the basic industrial feedstock isoprene with unactivated silanes has been developed using earth-abundant iron catalysts. The manipulation of regioselectivity relies on fine modification of the coordination geometry of the iron center. While a bidentate pyridine imine ligand promoted the formation of allylic silanes through 4,1-addition, selectivity for the 3,4-adduct homoallylic silanes was observed with a tridentate nitrogen ligand. Experimental studies and analysis were carried out to elucidate the reaction mechanism and the factors enabling manipulation of the regioselectivity. This study contributes to the art of regioselectivity control in alkene hydrofunctionalization.

Introduction

Due to the formation of one major isomer out of the possible outcomes, controlling the regioselectivity in a single reaction is a foundation of organic synthesis.^[1] It is more advanced to develop a regiodivergent reaction which allows the preparation of different regioisomers just by appropriately modifying the reaction conditions or choosing the catalyst.^[2] Meanwhile, these regiodivergent methodologies are becoming a practical tool in the concept of efficiency and economy for the rapid construction of molecular complexity.

The transition metal catalyzed hydrosilylation of unsaturated C–C bonds is an atom-economic approach for the preparation of value-added organosilanes, which can be further converted to useful materials such as silicon rubbers, paper releasing coatings, molding implants, and pressuresensitive adhesives.^[3] Therefore, numerous efforts have been devoted to develop efficient catalyst for the selective hydrosilylation of alkenes^[2a,4] or alkynes.^[4p,5] Despite huge attentions have been focused on the simple alkenes,^[3d,6] the exploitation of naturally abundant isoprene^[7] and their analogous terpenes in hydrosilylation remained less studied. This speculatively attributes to the regioselectivity issues

[*] C. S. Kuai,^[+] D. W. Ji,^[+] C. Y. Zhao, H. Liu, Dr. Y. C. Hu, Prof. Dr. Q. A. Chen Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences 457 Zhongshan Road, Dalian 116023 (China) E-mail: qachen@dicp.ac.cn Homepage: http://www.lbcs.dicp.ac.cn
[*] These authors contributed equally to this work.
(*) Supporting information and the ORCID identification number(s) for

the author(s) of this article can be found under: https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202007930. arising from the differentiate of four electronically unbiased alkenyl carbon atoms of isoprene. Excluding further alkene isomerization, six potential hydrosilylation products will be expected to be observed through different addition modes (Scheme 1 a). While most of the reported catalysis with precious metal (Pt, Rh, etc.)^[8] or earth-abundant metal (such as Ni, Co, etc.)^[9] delivered a mixture of regioisomers (low to moderate regioselectivity), very limited examples have been successfully disclosed with excellent regioselectivity.^[10] Moreover, all the existing works resulted in one major isomer. Therefore, the controllable synthesis of divergent regioisomers in the hydrosilylation of isoprene with high selectivities is still a daunting task.

It is well-known that iron is the most abundant transition metal in earth's crust (Scheme 1b).^[11] Therefore, iron-catalyzed hydrofunctionalization reactions have attracted significant research interests and offer complementary features with precious metal catalysis.^[4d,5f,12] In 2010, Ritter and co-workers revealed an elegant work in the regioselective hydrosilylation

a) Regioselectivity challenges in the hydrosilylation of isoprene



Scheme 1. Catalytic regioselective hydrosilylation of isoprene.

Wiley Online Library

These are not the final page numbers!

© 2020 Wiley-VCH GmbH

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 2-8

of diene with well-defined iron catalyst.^[13] In their work, the reaction afforded allylic silanes in high selectivity through 1,4-hydrosilylation of myrcene rather than isoprene (Scheme 1 c). Based on our interests in catalytic functionalization of terpenes,^[14] we sought to develop a regiodivergent strategy to selectively deliver other constitutional isomers in the hydrosilylation of isoprene. Herein, we reported a ligand regulated strategy for the manipulation of the regioselectivity in iron-catalyzed hydrosilylation of isoprene (Scheme 1 d). Compared with the reported iron-catalyzed protocol, the present method can controllably afford allylic silanes or homoallylic silanes with high regioselectivities via 4,1- and 3,4-hydrosilylation, respectively.

Results and Discussion

Using FeCl₂ (5 mol%) as precatalyst, we began the investigation by employing diphenylsilane (**1a**) and isoprene (**2a**) as the model substrates (Table 1). Pyridines and quinolines are bench-stable and commercially available chemicals and usually serve as N-based monodentate ligands in transition metal catalysis. However, these ligands all delivered **4a** and **5a** in low yields and regioselectivities (**L1–L5**). To our delight, a dramatic improvement in reactivity (86%)

Table 1: Optimization of the hydrosilylation of isoprene.^[a]

Ph, H _, Si						"c;"	\checkmark
Ph H 1a		FeCl ₂ , Ligand		3a : 3,4-addition		5a : 1,4-addition	
$\frac{3}{2a}$		NaBEt ₃ H THF, 40 °C		"Si"		Other selectivity: 1,2- , 2,1-, 4,3-addition	
The steric hindrance effect							
The NO. of N coordination	mono N: Yield ^[b] : (3 :4:5:Other) ^[b] :		L1 17% 0:2:1:0	L2 24% 0:2:1:0	L3 9% 0:5:1:0	L4 15% 0:4:1:0	L5 20% 0:3:1:0
	bis N: Yield ^[b] : (3:4:5:Other) ^[b] :		L6 86% 0:2:1:0	L7 85% 0:20:1:0	L8 92% 0:9:1:0	L9 81% 0:48:1:0	L10 80% 0:1:2:1
	tri I Yield (3:4:5:01	N: ¦ ^[b] : t her) ^[b] :	L11 87% 2:0:1:0	L12 85% 1:0:1:0	L13 95% 48:0:1:0	L14 95% 3:0:1:0	L15 26% 0:4:1:0
$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ $							
$\begin{array}{c c} & H & & Me & Me \\ \hline & & & & \\$							
$H = \frac{1}{N} = $							

[a] Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.20 mmol), **2a** (0.30 mmol), FeCl₂ (5 mol%), ligand (5 mol%), NaBEt₃H (10 mol%), THF (0.5 mL), 40 °C, 2 h, N₂. [b] Determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy or GC-FID with 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as the internal standard. Cy=cyclohexyl, Mes=mesityl (2,4,6-trimethylphenyl).

vield) was observed by switching to bidentate pyridine imine ligand L6, but regioselectivity remained unsatisfactory (4a/5a 2:1). Through a further ligand evaluation on steric hindrance (L6-L9), an obvious enhancement in regioselectivity was achieved to produce 4,1-hydrosilylative product 4a in good yields and excellent regioselectivities when bulky ligands (L7 and L9) were employed. Blocking the ortho-position of pyridine with methyl group exhibited no obvious impact on the reactivity but led to a decreased selectivity (L10). These promising results encouraged us to divert the regioselectivity by modulating the coordination environment between iron complex and isoprene with tridentate pyridine imine ligands. Delightfully, tridentate ligand L11 indeed produced 3a as major product in 2:1 rr. While bulky tridentate ligands hindered the regioselectivity (L12 and L14), excellent yield and selectivity were achieved when pyridine ketimine ligand L13 was used. It should be noted that both yield and selectivity were sharply diminished in the presence of cyclohexyl substituted ligand L15. Eventually, a regiodivergent condition on iron-catalyzed hydrosilylation of isoprene was identified with bidentate or tridentate ligands.

With the optimized conditions in hand, we set out to explore the generalities of substrates under this divergent approach. In the presence of $FeCl_2$ and L13, various homoallylic silanes 3 were obtained in moderate to good yields and high selectivities via 3,4-addition (Table 2, left). For instance, diphenylsilanes with the electron-donating substituents, such as Me, 'Bu, MeO, and Ph at the para position of phenyl group, all reacted smoothly under the current condition. The desired products (3a-3e) were obtained not only in good yields (77-94%) but also in excellent selectivities (>20:1). Notably, halides such as fluoro and chloro were well tolerated and the reactions delivered corresponding products in good yields (3f, 3g). Substrate bearing meta-substituted group also showed good performance on both reactivity and selectivity and exclusively delivered 3h in 93% yield. Due to the steric hindrance, a decrease in regioselectivity was observed when ortho-substituted diphenylsilanes were employed (3i and 3i). Silane bearing naphthyl group exhibited good reactivity and led to 3k in 82% yield and 5:1 rr. Furthermore, alkyl-substituted silanes were investigated and substrates such as methyl-, isopentyl-, hexyl-, and cyclohexylphenylsilane gave the 3,4-adducts in moderate to good yields (63-83%) and high selectivities (in most case, >20:1). In addition, we also examined the compatibility of trisubstituted silanes. While the triphenylsilane and triisopropylsilane were not applicable in current protocol (1p and 1q), 49% yield of 3,4-additive product 3r and 44% yield of 4,1-additive product 4r were obtained, respectively with triethoxysilane as substrate. No subsequent intramolecular hydrosilylation of 3a was observed even in higher temperature (100°C) or prolonged reaction times (24 h).

Next, we shifted our attention to explore the substrate scope towards 4,1-addition (Table 2, right). Similar to the 3,4-addition reactions, substrates bearing with either electron-donating groups (**4a–4d**) or electron-withdrawing groups (**4e–4g**) were all compatible under current process and provided the corresponding products in acceptable to good yields (76–97%). Furthermore, the stereo conformation of

Research Articles



Table 2: Scope of the iron-catalyzed 3,4- and 4,1-hydrosilylation of isoprene with respect to the silane substrate.^[a,b]



[a] Reaction conditions: **1** (0.20 mmol), **2a** (0.30 mmol), FeCl₂ (5 mol%), L (5 mol%), NaBEt₃H (10 mol%), THF (0.5 mL), 40°C, 2 h, N₂. [b] Yields are for the isolated product, unless otherwise noted; selectivity > 20:1 (3,4-/1,4-addition or 4,1-/1,4-addition), as determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy or GC-FID. [c] L7 was used instead of L9. [d] Determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy, a mixture of *trans* and *cis* isomers of **4r** was obtained.

product **4a** was determined as single Z-type via two-dimensional nuclear magnetic resonance (2D-NOESY). While *meta*-substituted diarylsilane performed well in both reactivity and selectivity (**4h**), the steric hindered substrates led to decreased selectivity (**4i–4k**). To our delight, a series of alkylarylsilanes could be successfully applied in this transformation and exclusively produced allylic isomers in 66–80% vields (**4l–4o**).

The scope of dienes was then explored under current regiodivergent strategy (Table 3). Terpenes bearing two isoprene units, such as myrcene (2b) and its derivatives (2c, 2d) proceeded smoothly to deliver the homoallylic product (3s-3u) in good yields (74-94%) and excellent selectivities (>20:1). Under FeCl₂/L9 condition, these substrates also reacted well and gave the regiospecific silanes (4s-4u) in slightly decreased yields (52-66%). With the aid of L13, diterpene phytadiene was also well tolerated and produced corresponding homoallylic silane 3v in acceptable yield and excellent regioselectivity (>20:1). A switch of regioselectivity was observed through the alteration of ligand (L13 vs. L9) and allylic silane 4v with long alkyl chain was obtained. No desirable hydrosilylation products were detected with polyene (retinol) as substrate. Although no homoallylic product could be observed with the internal 1,3-dienes 2f as the substrate with the aid of L13, 51 % yield of product 3w could be obtained in the presence of ligand L9.

To verify the practical utility of our protocol, gram scale experiments were carried out (Scheme 2). Diphenylsilane **1a** reacted smoothly under two standard reaction conditions and delivered corresponding products **3a** and **4a** in good yields (92%, 81%, respectively). It should be noted that the selectivities were well maintained in the gram scale reactions for both 3,4-addition and 4,1-addition.

Next, several deuterium labeling experiments have been conducted to explore the mechanism. When deuterated silane (Ph₂SiD₂, d_2 -1a) and one equivalent of isoprene 2a were employed in two selective standard conditions, the corresponding hydrosilylative products (3a-d and 4a-d) were obtained without obvious deuterium scrambling (Scheme 3a, left). However, only 70% of deuterium at Si atom and 65% of deuterium at allylic position were detected in product 3a-d.



Scheme 2. Gram-scale reactions for the regiodivergent hydrosilylation of isoprene.

www.angewandte.org

© 2020 Wiley-VCH GmbH

These are not the final page numbers!

Table 3: Scope of the reaction with respect to the diene substrate.^[a]



[a] Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.20 mmol), **2** (0.30 mmol), FeCl₂ (5 mol%), ligand (5 mol%), NaBEt₃H (10 mol%), THF (0.5 mL), 40 °C, 2 h, N₂. Isolated yield of all isomers. Unless otherwise noted, selectivity > 20:1 (3,4-/1,4-addition or 4,1-/1,4-addition), as determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy or GC-FID. [b] **L7** was used instead of **L9**. [c] **3w/4w** (2,1/1,4-addition) = 11:1.

This observation made us wonder if H–D exchange occurred between Si–H/D and isoprene. To testify this proposal, we conducted the reactions with excess isoprene (Scheme 3a, right) and a significant loss of deuterium was observed for both **3a-d'** and **4a-d'** products. Furthermore, deuterium labeling recovery experiments also showed remarkable loss of deuterium at Si atom when deuterated **3a-d** and **4a-d** were treated with one equivalent of isoprene under standard conditions, respectively (Scheme 3b). In comparison, only slight D–H exchange on silicon atom was observed when deuterated **3a-d** and **4a-d** were treated with one equivalent of diphenylsilane **1a**. These observations support that a reversible migratory insertion between the isoprene and Fe– hydride is involved in the catalytic cycle.

On the basis of these observations and previous reports, a plausible mechanism for the divergent selectivity manipulation was proposed (Scheme 4a). The reduction of the iron complex with NaEt₃BH directly gives the corresponding Fe^0 species, which undergoes coordination with isoprene to generate Fe complex **A**. Subsequently, the oxidative addition of silane **1** with **A** yields an Fe^{II} -hydride intermediate **B** or **B'**. Depending on the coordination geometry of iron complex **B** or **B'** with different ligands, two possible migratory insertions will occur. An iron(II)-silicon intermediate **C** could be formed via the insertion of isoprene **1a** into the Fe^{II} -H bond. On the contrary, a migratory insertion of isoprene **1a** into the Fe^{II}-Si bond generates Fe^{II} -H **D**. The final reductive elimination of intermediate **C** or **D** delivers the hydrosilylation product and regenerates Fe^0 catalyst.

Based on the analysis of coordination geometry of iron complex, we proposed the potential intermediates for the elucidation of regioselective manipulation (Scheme 4 b,c). In the presence of tridentate ligand L13, coordinatively saturated (18 electron) Fe^{II}-H L13-B1 and L13-B2 could be formed as two major species via oxidative addition during the hydrosilylation. Given the obtained result from deuterium labeling studies, migratory insertions of alkene into Fe^{II}-H (L13-B1 to L13-C1) is favored and delivers 3a as major product. Minor isomer 5a could be formed via the insertion of alkene into FeII-Si (L13-B2 to L13-D1) and subsequent allylic isomerization (L13-D1 to L13-D1'), reductive elimination. Switching to bidentate ligand L9, one extra coordination site is spared to facilitate the coordination of isoprene to iron in a chelated form. Therefore, both major product 4a and minor product 5a are formed via 1,4 insertion of isoprene into Fe^{II}-H (L9-B1 to L9-C1, L9-B2 to L9-C2, etc.). The presence of meth-

yl group on isoprene makes C1 position more electron rich than C4 position. Therefore, hydride prefers to undergo

a) Deuterium labeling experiments: Isoprene effect



b) Deuterium labeling recovery experiments:



Scheme 3. Deuterium labeling studies.

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 2-8

© 2020 Wiley-VCH GmbH

www.angewandte.org





b) Proposed potential intermediates in the presence of tridentate ligand L13





 $\textit{Scheme 4.}\ Proposed mechanism for regioselectivity. S = solvent or substrate.$

addition into isoprene on the relatively electron-deficient C4 position (L9-B2 to L9-C2) to form 4a other than 5a as major product.

Conclusion

In conclusion, we have developed an iron-catalyzed regiodivergent and stereoselective hydrosilylation of terpenes through ligand regulation. In the presence of iron catalyst, the use of bidentate nitrogen ligand L9 delivered allylic silanes as 4,1-hydrosilylation products. A switch of regioselectivity to 3,4-hydrosilylation was obtained by using tridentate nitrogen ligand L13. Experimental studies and analysis have been performed to interpret the regioselective manipulation. Further studies on the other regioselective functionalizations of terpenes are currently underway in our laboratory.

Acknowledgements

We thank Prof. Yong-Gui Zhou (DICP) and Prof. Martin Oestreich (TU Berlin) for helpful discussions and manuscript revisions. Financial support from Dalian Outstanding Young Scientific Talent, Liaoning Revitalization Talents Program (XLYC1807181), and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21702204, 21801239) is acknowledged.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: divergent regioselectivity \cdot hydrosilylation \cdot iron \cdot isoprene \cdot terpenoids

- a) J. Mahatthananchai, A. M. Dumas, J. W. Bode, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 10954; Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 11114; b) N. Funken, Y.-Q. Zhang, A. Gansaeuer, Chem. Eur. J. 2017, 23, 19; c) Z. Huang, G. Dong, Acc. Chem. Res. 2017, 50, 465; d) X.-H. Yang, V. M. Dong, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 1774; e) X.-H. Yang, A. Lu, V. M. Dong, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 14049; f) G. Zhan, W. Du, Y.-C. Chen, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2017, 46, 1675; g) I. P. Beletskaya, C. Najera, M. Yus, Chem. Rev. 2018, 118, 5080; h) S.-Z. Nie, R. T. Davison, V. M. Dong, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 16450; i) X.-H. Yang, R. T. Davison, V. M. Dong, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 10443; j) C. Nájera, I. P. Beletskaya, M. Yus, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2019, 48, 4515.
- [2] a) C. Wang, W. J. Teo, S. Ge, ACS Catal. 2017, 7, 855; b) A. J. Bochat, V. M. Shoba, J. M. Takacs, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 9434; Angew. Chem. 2019, 131, 9534; c) D.-W. Ji, Y.-C. Hu, H. Zheng, C.-Y. Zhao, Q.-A. Chen, V. M. Dong, Chem. Sci. 2019, 10, 6311; d) D. Kong, B. Hu, D. Chen, Chem. Asian J. 2019, 14, 2694; e) H. Lei, J. H. Conway, Jr., C. C. Cook, T. Rovis, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 11864; f) H. L. Sang, C. Wu, G. G. D. Phua, S. Ge, ACS Catal. 2019, 9, 10109; g) X.-H. Yang, R. T. Davison, S.-Z. Nie, F. A. Cruz, T. M. McGinnis, V. M. Dong, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 3006; h) Y. Ye, S.-T. Kim, J. Jeong, M.-H. Baik, S. L. Buchwald, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 3901.
- [3] a) B. Marciniec, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2005, 249, 2374; b) B. Marciniec, Hydrosilylation: a comprehensive review on recent advances, Vol. 1, Springer Science & Business Media, Cham, 2008; c) D. Troegel, J. Stohrer, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2011, 255, 1440; d) Y. Nakajima, S. Shimada, RSC Adv. 2015, 5, 20603; e) S. R. Tamang, M. Findlater, Molecules 2019, 24, 3194.
- [4] For selected examples for hydrosilylation of alkenes, see: a) J. F. Harrod, A. J. Chalk, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1965, 87, 1133; b) I. E. Markó, S. Stérin, O. Buisine, G. Mignani, P. Branlard, B. Tinant, J. P. Declercq, Science 2002, 298, 204; c) S. Ge, A. Meetsma, B. Hessen, Organometallics 2008, 27, 3131; d) P. J. Chirik, K. Wieghardt, Science 2010, 327, 794; e) Z. Mo, Y. Liu, L. Deng, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 10845; Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 11045; f) C. C. H. Atienza, T. Diao, K. J. Weller, S. A. Nye, K. M. Lewis, J. G. P. Delis, J. L. Boyer, A. K. Roy, P. J. Chirik, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 12108; g) S. E. Parker, J. Börgel, T. Ritter, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 4857; h) I. Buslov, J. Becouse, S. Mazza, M. Montandon-Clerc, X. Hu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 14523; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 14731; i) C. Chen, M. B. Hecht, A. Kavara, W. W. Brennessel, B. Q. Mercado, D. J. Weix, P. L. Holland, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 13244; j) I. Buslov, F. Song, X. Hu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 12295; Angew. Chem. 2016, 128, 12483; k) X. Du, Y. Zhang, D. Peng, Z. Huang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 6671; Angew. Chem. 2016, 128, 6783; 1) X. Jia, Z. Huang, Nat. Chem. 2016, 8, 157; m) J. Mathew, Y. Nakajima, Y. K. Choe, Y. Urabe, W. Ando, K. Sato, S. Shimada, Chem. Commun. 2016, 52, 6723; n) I. Pappas, S. Treacy, P. J. Chirik, ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 4105; o) C. H. Schuster, T. Diao, I. Pappas, P. J. Chirik, ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 2632; p) B. Cheng, P. Lu, H. Zhang, X. Cheng, Z. Lu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 9439; q) J. H. Docherty, J. Peng, A. P. Dominey, S. P. Thomas, Nat. Chem. 2017, 9, 595; r) Y. Liu, L. Deng,

www.angewandte.org

© 2020 Wiley-VCH GmbH

These are not the final page numbers!

J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 1798; s) C. Wang, W. J. Teo, S. Ge, Nat. Commun. 2017, 8, 2258; t) Z. Yang, D. Peng, X. Du, Z. Huang,
S. Ma, Org. Chem. Front. 2017, 4, 1829; u) J. R. Carney, B. R. Dillon, L. Campbell, S. P. Thomas, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 10620; Angew. Chem. 2018, 130, 10780; v) H. L. Sang, S. Yu, S. Ge, Chem. Sci. 2018, 9, 973; w) R. Agahi, A. J. Challinor, J. Dunne,
J. H. Docherty, N. B. Carter, S. P. Thomas, Chem. Sci. 2019, 10, 5079; x) H. Wen, K. Wang, Y. Zhang, G. Liu, Z. Huang, ACS Catal.
2019, 9, 1612; y) C. Chen, H. Wang, Y. Sun, J. Cui, J. Xie, Y. Shi, S. Yu, X. Hong, Z. Lu, iScience 2020, 23, 100985.

- [5] For selected examples for hydrosilylation of alkynes, see: a) T. A. Blumenkopf, L. E. Overman, Chem. Rev. 1986, 86, 857; b) E. Langkopf, D. Schinzer, Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 1375; c) F. Alonso, R. Buitrago, Y. Moglie, A. Sepúlveda-Escribano, M. Yus, Organometallics 2012, 31, 2336; d) M. D. Greenhalgh, D. J. Frank, S. P. Thomas, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 584; e) Z. Mo, J. Xiao, Y. Gao, L. Deng, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 17414; f) A. J. Challinor, M. Calin, G. S. Nichol, N. B. Carter, S. P. Thomas, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2016, 358, 2404; g) J. Guo, Z. Lu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 10835; Angew. Chem. 2016, 128, 10993; h) T. Xi, Z. Lu, J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 8858; i) Z. Zuo, J. Yang, Z. Huang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 10839; Angew. Chem. 2016, 128, 10997; j) X. Du, W. Hou, Y. Zhang, Z. Huang, Org. Chem. Front. 2017, 4, 1517; k) J. Guo, X. Shen, Z. Lu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 615; Angew. Chem. 2017, 129, 630; 1) W. J. Teo, C. Wang, Y. W. Tan, S. Ge, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 4328; Angew. Chem. 2017, 129, 4392; m) J. Chen, J. Guo, Z. Lu, Chin. J. Chem. 2018, 36, 1075; n) H. Wen, X. Wan, Z. Huang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 6319; Angew. Chem. 2018, 130, 6427; o) C. Wu, W. J. Teo, S. Ge, ACS Catal. 2018, 8, 5896; p) G. Wu, U. Chakraborty, A. Jacobi von Wangelin, Chem. Commun. 2018, 54, 12322; q) S. Zhang, J. J. Ibrahim, Y. Yang, Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 6265; r) J. Guo, H. Wang, S. Xing, X. Hong, Z. Lu, Chem 2019, 5, 881; s) M. Y. Hu, J. Lian, W. Sun, T. Z. Qiao, S. F. Zhu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 4579; t) M.-Y. Huang, J.-M. Yang, Y.-T. Zhao, S.-F. Zhu, ACS Catal. 2019, 9, 5353; u) D. Kong, B. Hu, M. Yang, D. Chen, H. Xia, Organometallics 2019, 38, 4341; v) H. L. Sang, Y. Hu, S. Ge, Org. Lett. 2019, 21, 5234; w) J. Walkowiak, K. Salamon, A. Franczyk, K. Stefanowska, J. Szyling, I. Kownacki, J. Org. Chem. 2019, 84, 2358; x) Z. Zong, Q. Yu, N. Sun, B. Hu, Z. Shen, X. Hu, L. Jin, Org. Lett. 2019, 21, 5767; y) Z. Cheng, J. Guo, Z. Lu, Chem. Commun. 2020, 56, 2229.
- [6] For reviews on hydrofunctionalization of alkenes, see: a) P. Chirik, *Catalysis without Precious Metals*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2010;
 b) M. D. Greenhalgh, A. S. Jones, S. P. Thomas, *ChemCatChem* 2015, 7, 190; c) E. McNeill, T. Ritter, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2015, 48, 2330; d) X. Du, Z. Huang, *ACS Catal.* 2017, 7, 1227; e) J. Chen, Z. Lu, *Org. Chem. Front.* 2018, 5, 260; f) J. V. Obligacion, P. J. Chirik, *Nat. Rev. Chem.* 2018, 2, 15; g) W. Ai, R. Zhong, X. Liu, Q. Liu, *Chem. Rev.* 2019, *119*, 2876; h) H. Wen, G. Liu, Z. Huang, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2019, *386*, 138.
- [7] a) X. Lv, F. Wang, P. Zhou, L. Ye, W. Xie, H. Xu, H. Yu, *Nat. Commun.* 2016, 7, 12851; b) Y. J. Zhou, E. J. Kerkhoven, J. Nielsen, *Nat. Energy* 2018, 3, 925.
- [8] a) I. Ojima, M. Kumagai, J. Organomet. Chem. 1978, 157, 359;
 b) M. Tanaka, Y. Uchimaru, H. J. Lautenschlager, Organometallics 1991, 10, 16; c) C. Polizzi, A. M. Caporusso, G. Vitulli, P. Salvadori, J. Organomet. Chem. 1993, 451, C4; d) M. Gustafsson, T. Frejd, J. Organomet. Chem. 2004, 689, 438.
- [9] a) S.-Y. Onozawa, T. Sakakura, M. Tanaka, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1994, 35, 8177; b) W. Abdelqader, D. Chmielewski, F. W. Grevels, S. Ozkar, N. B. Peynircioglu, *Organometallics* 1996, 15, 604; c) Z. M. Hou, Y. G. Zhang, O. Tardif, Y. Wakatsuki, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2001, 123, 9216; d) T. J. Steiman, C. Uyeda, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2015, 137, 6104; e) V. Srinivas, Y. Nakajima, W. Ando, K. Sato, S. Shimada, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 2016, 809, 57; f) B. Raya, S. Jing, T. V. RajanBabu, ACS Catal. 2017, 7, 2275.

- [10] For the hydrosilylation of isoprene with excellent regioselectivity, see: a) M. F. Lappert, T. A. Nile, S. Takahashi, J. Organomet. Chem. 1974, 72, 425; b) I. Ojima, R. J. Donovan, N. Clos, Organometallics 1991, 10, 2606; c) Z. M. Michalska, B. Ostaszewski, K. Strzelec, J. Organomet. Chem. 1995, 496, 19; d) M. Gustafsson, T. Frejd, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 2002, 102; e) G. Hilt, S. Lüers, F. Schmidt, Synthesis 2004, 634; f) R. Pop, J. L. Cui, L. Adriaenssens, V. Comte, P. Le Gendre, Synlett 2011, 679; g) Ref. [4g]; h) V. Srinivas, Y. Nakajima, W. Ando, K. Sato, S. Shimada, Catal. Sci. Technol. 2015, 5, 2081; i) A. D. Ibrahim, S. W. Entsminger, L. Zhu, A. R. Fout, ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 3589.
- [11] a) K. H. Wedepohl, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 1995, 59, 1217;
 b) S. R. Taylor, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 1964, 28, 1273;
 c) https://courses.lumenlearning.com/geology/chapter/reading-abundance-of-elements-in-earths-crust/.
- [12] For iron-catalyzed hydrofunctionalization with alkenes, see: a) M. Y. Hu, Q. He, S. J. Fan, Z. C. Wang, L. Y. Liu, Y. J. Mu, Q. Peng, S. F. Zhu, Nat. Commun. 2018, 9, 221; b) B. Cheng, W. Liu, Z. Lu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 5014; c) K. Hayasaka, K. Kamata, H. Nakazawa, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2016, 89, 394; d) Y. Sunada, D. Noda, H. Soejima, H. Tsutsumi, H. Nagashima, Organometallics 2015, 34, 2896; e) G. I. Nikonov, ChemCatChem 2015, 7, 1918; f) R. Gilbert-Wilson, W.-Y. Chu, T. B. Rauchfuss, Inorg. Chem. 2015, 54, 5596; g) J. Chen, B. Cheng, M. Cao, Z. Lu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 4661; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 4744; h) A. S. Jones, J. F. Paliga, M. D. Greenhalgh, J. M. Quibell, A. Steven, S. P. Thomas, Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 5964; i) D. Peng, Y. Zhang, X. Du, L. Zhang, X. Leng, M. D. Walter, Z. Huang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 19154; j) A. M. Tondreau, C. C. H. Atienza, K. J. Weller, S. A. Nye, K. M. Lewis, J. G. P. Delis, P. J. Chirik, Science 2012, 335, 567; k) A. M. Tondreau, C. C. H. Atienza, J. M. Darmon, C. Milsmann, H. M. Hoyt, K. J. Weller, S. A. Nye, K. M. Lewis, J. Boyer, J. G. P. Delis, E. Lobkovsky, P. J. Chirik, Organometallics 2012, 31, 4886; 1) K. Kamata, A. Suzuki, Y. Nakai, H. Nakazawa, Organometallics 2012, 31, 3825; m) C. C. Hojilla Atienza, A. M. Tondreau, K. J. Weller, K. M. Lewis, R. W. Cruse, S. A. Nye, J. L. Boyer, J. G. P. Delis, P. J. Chirik, ACS Catal. 2012, 2, 2169; n) D. Bézier, G. T. Venkanna, L. C. M. Castro, J. Zheng, T. Roisnel, J.-B. Sortais, C. Darcel, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2012, 354, 1879; o) C. C. Hojilla Atienza, A. M. Tondreau, K. J. Weller, K. M. Lewis, R. W. Cruse, S. A. Nye, J. L. Boyer, J. G. P. Delis, P. J. Chirik, ACS Catal. 2012, 2, 2169; p) J. Yang, T. D. Tilley, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 10186; Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 10384; q) A. M. Tondreau, E. Lobkovsky, P. J. Chirik, Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2789; r) S. C. Bart, K. Chlopek, E. Bill, M. W. Bouwkamp, E. Lobkovsky, F. Neese, K. Wieghardt, P. J. Chirik, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 13901; s) A. M. Archer, M. W. Bouwkamp, M.-P. Cortez, E. Lobkovsky, P. J. Chirik, Organometallics 2006, 25, 4269; t) S. C. Bart, E. Lobkovsky, P. J. Chirik, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 13794; u) A. N. Nesmeyanov, R. K. Freidlina, E. C. Chukovskaya, R. G. Petrova, A. B. Belyavsky, Tetrahedron 1962, 17, 61.
- [13] J. Y. Wu, B. N. Stanzl, T. Ritter, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 13214.
- [14] a) Y.-C. Hu, D.-W. Ji, C.-Y. Zhao, H. Zheng, Q.-A. Chen, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 5438; Angew. Chem. 2019, 131, 5492; b) Y. Li, Y.-C. Hu, H. Zheng, D.-W. Ji, Y.-F. Cong, Q.-A. Chen, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2019, 6510; c) J. Yang, D.-W. Ji, Y.-C. Hu, X.-T. Min, X. Zhou, Q.-A. Chen, Chem. Sci. 2019, 10, 9560; d) D.-W. Ji, G.-C. He, W.-S. Zhang, C.-Y. Zhao, Y.-C. Hu, Q.-A. Chen, Chem. Commun. 2020, 56, 7431; e) Y. Li, Y.-C. Hu, D.-W. Ji, W.-S. Zhang, G.-C. He, Y.-F. Cong, Q.-A. Chen, Chin. J. Catal. 2020, 41, 1401; f) C.-Y. Zhao, H. Zheng, D.-W. Ji, X.-T. Min, Y.-C. Hu, Q.-A. Chen, Cell Rep. Phys. Sci. 2020, 1, 100067.

Manuscript received: June 3, 2020 Accepted manuscript online: July 3, 2020 Version of record online:

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 2-8

© 2020 Wiley-VCH GmbH

www.angewandte.org

Research Articles



Research Articles



abundant iron catalysts. Various silanes

and terpenes were transformed with

respectively.

© 2020 Wiley-VCH GmbH