

# Efficient and Selective Synthesis of (*E*)-Enamides via Ru(II)-Catalyzed Hydroamidation of Internal Alkynes

Changsheng Kuai, Lianhui Wang, Haoyi Cui, Jinhai Shen, Yadong Feng, and Xiuling Cui\*

Engineering Research Center of Molecular Medicine, Ministry of Education, Key Laboratory of Xiamen Marine and Gene Drugs, Institute of Molecular Medicine and School of Biomedical Sciences, Huaqiao University, Xiamen 361021, China

# **Supporting Information**

**ABSTRACT:** An efficient hydroamidation of benzylamides with internal alkynes catalyzed by Ru(II) has been developed. Various (*E*)-enamides were afforded in up to 95% yield for 28 examples. The protocol features excellent regio- and stereo-selectivity, widely functional group tolerance, and easily accessible starting materials.



**KEYWORDS:** hydroamidation, enamides, interanl alkynes, ruthenium, C–N formation

T he enamide moiety is a key functionality in numerous natural products<sup>1</sup> and pharmaceuticals with antibiotic,<sup>2</sup> antitumor,<sup>3</sup> cytotoxic,<sup>4</sup> anthelmintic,<sup>5</sup> and antifungal<sup>6</sup> activities (Chart 1). They also serves as important intermediates in organic reactions, such as [4 + 2]-cycloaddition,<sup>7</sup> cross-coupling reaction,<sup>8</sup> enantioselective addition,<sup>9</sup> or asymmetric hydrogenation.<sup>10</sup> Accordingly, much effort has been paid toward the development of new synthetic methods to build such a structure.<sup>11</sup> Considering the relatively harsh conditions, poor selectivity,<sup>12</sup> or the limited substrate scope<sup>13</sup> with the conventional procedures, the hydroamidation of alkynes has appeared as a promising method due to its mild reaction conditions and 100% atom economy in recent years.<sup>14</sup>

# Chart 1. Biologically Active Natural Products Containing Enamide Motif



# Scheme 1. Catalytic Hydroamidation of Alkynes



However, to the best of our knowledge, almost all protocols presented so far are restricted to terminal alkynes.<sup>15</sup> Only one example is applicable to internal alkynes with an amide, as reported by Kozmin and Sun,<sup>16</sup> in which silver-catalyzed hydroamidation of siloxy alkynes was successfully achieved (Scheme 1). However, this method is limited to an electronrich alkyne. Therefore, it is still highly desirable to discover a new pathway to construct an enamide that displays the broad scope of internal alkynes, high regioselectivity, and efficiency. Herein, we present a novel hydroamidation of benzylamides with various alkynes catalyzed by Ru(II), affording the corresponding (E)-enamides in moderate to excellent yields, which featured excellent regio- and stereoselectivity, widely functional group tolerance, and easily accessible starting materials. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first example for the hydroamidation of electron-deficient internal alkynes (Scheme 1).

In our initial studies, the reaction of benzylamides 1a with tolane 2a was chosen as a model reaction to optimize various

Received:August 14, 2015Revised:November 25, 2015Published:November 30, 2015



S © 2015 American Chemical Society

Table 1. Optimization of the Reaction Conditions<sup>a</sup>

la	N DIPA H + Ph 2a	Ph [Ru( <i>p</i> -cymene)Cl <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub> AgSbF <sub>6</sub> Additive, Solvent, 90 °C,16h <i>under air</i>	N DIPA Ph 3aa
entry	solvent	additive (equiv)	3aa (%) <sup>b</sup>
1	1,2-DCE	$Cu(OAc)_2$ (2)	81
2 <sup><i>c</i></sup>	1,2-DCE	$Cu(OAc)_2$ (2)	n.r.
3 <sup>d</sup>	1,2-DCE	$Cu(OAc)_2$ (2)	<5
4	1,2-DCE		<5
5	1,4-dioxane	$Cu(OAc)_2(2)$	<5
6	PhMe	$Cu(OAc)_2$ (2)	<5
7	MeCN	$Cu(OAc)_2$ (2)	n.r.
8	DMF	$Cu(OAc)_2$ (2)	n.r.
9	t-AmOH	$Cu(OAc)_2$ (2)	n.r.
10	1,2-DCE	$Cu(OAc)_2(1)$	85
11	1,2-DCE	$Cu(OAc)_2$ (10 mol %)	93(53) <sup>e</sup> (82) <sup>f</sup>
12	1,2-DCE	KOAc (10 mol %)	77
13	1,2-DCE	NaOAc (10 mol %)	80
14	1,2-DCE	AcOH (10 mol %)	69
15	1,2-DCE	PivOH (10 mol %)	28

<sup>*a*</sup>Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.25 mmol), **2a** (0.3 mmol),  $[Ru(p-cymene)Cl_2]_2$  (0.0125 mmol), AgSbF<sub>6</sub> (0.05 mmol), solvent (1.0 mL), 90 °C under air, 16 h. <sup>*b*</sup>Isolated yields. <sup>*c*</sup>Without  $[Ru(p-cymene)Cl_2]_2$ . <sup>*d*</sup>Without AgSbF<sub>6</sub>. <sup>*e*</sup>3 mol % catalyst. <sup>*f*</sup>4 mol % catalyst.





reaction parameters (Table 1). The product of oxidative annulation of benzylamines with alkynes was not detected. However, the unexpected product **3aa** was obtained in 81% yield with [Ru(*p*-cymene)Cl<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> (5 mol %) and AgSbF<sub>6</sub> (20 mol %) as a cocatalyst (entry 1). The structure of the product **3aa** was confirmed by single-crystal X-ray diffraction (Chart 2). The desired product **3aa** could not be detected in the absence of [Ru(*p*-cymene)Cl<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>, AgSbF<sub>6</sub>, or Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub> (entries 2–4). With such an exciting preliminary result in hand, a systematic screening of reaction solvents was carried out (entries 5–9). Among the solvents examined, 1,2-DCE was proved to be the best choice. Moreover, we were pleased to find that decreasing the loading of Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub> from stoichiometry to catalytic amounts (10 mol %) led to increasing the yield from 81% to Table 2. Scope of benzylamides<sup>a</sup>

Ar 🧹	o ∧DI	Ph PA + //	[Ru( <i>p</i> -cymene)Cl <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub> (5 mol%) AgSbF <sub>6</sub> (20 mol%) ►	
	Н	Ph (	Cu(OAc) <sub>2</sub> (10 mol%)	Ph
	1	20	DCE, 90 °C,16h	Ph 3
		2a		
	entry	substrate I	product 3	yield (%)
		R		
	1	<b>1b</b> , R = Me	3ba, R = Me	80
	2	<b>1c</b> , $\mathbf{R} = t$ -Bu	<b>3ca</b> , R = <i>t</i> -Bu	86
	3	<b>1d</b> , R = OMe	3da, R=OMe	91
	4	<b>1e</b> , R = Cl	<b>3ea</b> , R = Cl	88
	5	1 <b>f</b> , R = F	<b>3fa</b> , R = F	95
	6	$1g, R = NO_2$	$3ga, R = NO_2$	91
	7	<b>1h</b> , $R = CF_3$	<b>3ha</b> , $R = CF_3$	86
	8	<b>1i</b> , R = Me	<b>3ia</b> , R = Me	57
	9	1j, R = F	<b>3ja</b> , R = F	66
	10	<b>1k</b> , $R = CF_3$	$3ka, R = CF_3$	58
		R N OA	R N OA Ph	
	11	<b>11</b> , R = Me	<b>3la</b> , R = Me	91
	12	<b>1m</b> , R = F	<b>3ma</b> , R = F	91
	13	<b>1n</b> , R = Cl	<b>3na</b> , R = Cl	85
	14	<b>10</b> , $R = CF_3$	<b>30a,</b> R = CF <sub>3</sub>	86
		U HN OA	Ph Ph OA	
	15	1p	3pa	65
			N Ph Ph OA	
	16	1q	3qa	47

<sup>a</sup>All reactions were carried out using benzylamide 1 (0.25 mmol), tolane 2a (0.3 mmol),  $[Ru(p\text{-cymene})Cl_2]_2$  (0.0125 mmol),  $AgSbF_6$  (0.05 mmol),  $Cu(OAc)_2$  (0.025 mmol) in 1,2-DCE (1.0 mL) at 90 °C under air for 16 h. <sup>b</sup>Isolated yields.

93% (entries 10-11). Besides, various additives, such as KOAc, NaOAc, HOAc, and PivOH, were screened. Lower yields were obtained in all cases (entries 12-15). Notably, reducing the catalyst loading to 3 mol % and 4 mol % significantly influences this reaction (entry 11).

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, we first investigated the scope of benzylamides (Table 2). To our delight, a remarkably broad range of benzylamides was tolerated. Tolane **2a** could reacted efficiently with benzylamides **1** bearing either electron-donating or electron-withdrawing groups, delivering the targeted products in good to excellent yields. For instance, electron-donating groups, such as Me-, *tert*-



Table 3. Scope of Symmetrical and Unsymmetrical Alkynes<sup>a</sup>

<sup>*a*</sup>All reactions were carried out using benzylamides **1a** (0.25 mmol), alkyne **2** (0.3 mmol),  $[Ru(p-cymene)Cl_2]_2$  (0.0125 mmol), AgSbF<sub>6</sub> (0.05 mmol), Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub> (0.025 mmol) and 1,2-DCE (1.0 mL) at 90 °C under air for 16 h. <sup>*b*</sup>Isolated yields.

Bu-, and MeO- (1b-d) promoted this transformation smoothly, yielding the hydroamidated products 3ba-da in 80%, 86%, and 91% yields, respectively (entries 1-3). Likewise, electron-withdrawing groups, such as X- (Cl-, F-), NO2-, and CF<sub>3</sub>-substituted benzylamides 1e-h also reacted efficiently with 2a, giving trisubstituted alkenes 3ea-ha in 88%, 95%, 91%, and 86% yields, respectively (entries 4-7). ortho-Me, -F, and -CF<sub>3</sub> benzylamines 1i, 1j, and 1k was less effective, probably due to the steric effect, giving the target, products 3ia, 3ja, and 3ka in moderate yields (entries 8-10). Furthermore, the reaction was tested with meta-substituted benzylamides 11-10, producing enamide 3la-30a in excellent yields as well (entries 11-14). Moreover, heterocyclic substrates 1p and 1q were also successfully converted to the corresponding enamides 3pa and 3qa in 65% and 47% yields, respectively (entries 15 and 16). Other amides, such as N-benzylacetamide, methyl benzylcarbamate,  $N^1$ ,  $N^1$ -diisopropyl- $N^2$ -phenyloxalamide,  $N^1$ butyl- $N^2$ , $N^2$ -diisopropyloxalamide,  $N^1$ -allyl- $N^2$ , $N^2$ -diisopropy-





Scheme 2. Plausible Reaction Mechanism



loxalamide and the general amines, such as benzylamine, aniline, alkylamine, furan-2-ylmethanamine, and thiophen-2ylmethanamine, had been examined under the standard reaction conditions. However, no desired product was obtained.

Subsequently, we further investigated the scope of alkynes (Table 3). Symmetrical para-substituted tolanes 2b-e coupled with 1a to form the target product 3ab-ae smoothly (entries 1-4). Besides, 4,4'-COOEt-, CF<sub>3</sub>-, and NO<sub>2</sub>-substituted tolanes, which are electron-deficient alkynes, gave the corresponding products in 16%, 12% and 11% yields, respectively. Good yield was obtained for ortho- and metasubstituted tolanes (entries 5-8). Moreover, unsymmetrical alkynes such as 1-phenyl-1-propyne (2j), 1-phenyl-1-butyne (2k), and 1-phenyl-1-hexyne (2l) were also efficiently converted the products (3aj-al) in good yields with excellent regioselectivity (entries 9-11), which is probably due to the coordination of the aromatic ring from the alkynes with Ru atom. Furthermore, the configuration of 3aj was confirmed by single-crystal X-ray diffraction (Chart 3). Dialkyl alkynes, such as 3-hexyne, ethynylbenzene, and trimethyl(phenylethynyl)silane, were examined under the standard reaction conditions; however, no desired products were observed.

On the basis of the obtained results and literatures,<sup>17</sup> the reaction mechanism was tentatively proposed and shown in Scheme 2. First, the active cationic  $[Ru(OAc)]^+$  species was formed from  $[\operatorname{RuCl}_2(p\text{-cymene})]_2$  by the aid of AgSbF<sub>6</sub> and  $Cu(OAc)_2$ . Then, the coordination of oxygen atom from carbonyl group in benzylamide 1 with cationic  $[Ru(OAc)]^+$  and the subsequent acetate-mediated deprotonation led to the intermediate cationic ruthenacycle 4 and released AcOH. Subsequently, the coordination of cationic ruthenacycle with alkyne afforded intermediate 5 and successively migratory insertion of alkyne furnished the seven-membered ruthenacycle 6. Finally, protodemetalation of ruthenacycle 6 afforded the product 3 and regenerateed the active cationic [Ru(OAc)]+ species for the next catalytic cycle. The excellent regioselectivity was obtained probably due to the coordination of the aromatic ring from the alkynes to Ru atom in the ruthenacycle 6.

In summary, an efficient hydroamidation of internal alkynes with benzylamides catalyzed by Ru(II) has been achieved, affording the corresponding (*E*)-enamides in moderate to excellent yields. This is the first example of hydroamidation with electron-deficient and electron-rich internal alkynes. This protocol features excellent regio- and stereoselectivity, widely functional group tolerance, and easily accessible starting materials.

## ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **S** Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acscatal.5b01791.

General procedures, relevant NMR spectra, X-ray structures, and catalytic experiments (PDF) X-ray data (CIF)

#### AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Author**

\*E-mail: cuixl@hqu.edu.cn. Fax: (+)86-592-6162996.

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the NSF of China (21572072), Xiamen Southern Oceanographic Center (15PYY052SF01), the NSF of Fujian Province (2015J01056) and Huaqiao University (ZQN-PY317).

### REFERENCES

(1) (a) Yet, L. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 4283-4306. (b) Bokesch, H. R.; Pannell, L. K.; Mckee, T. C.; Boyd, M. R. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 6305-6308. (c) Dumdei, E.; Andersen, R. J. J. Nat. Prod. 1993, 56, 792-794. (d) Tan, N.-H.; Zhou, J. Chem. Rev. 2006, 106, 840-895. (e) Wang, X.; Porco, J. A., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 6040-6041. (f) He, G.; Wang, J.; Ma, D. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 1367-1369. (g) Su, Q.; Panek, J. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 2425-2430. (h) Nicolaou, K. C.; Kim, D. W.; Baati, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 3701-3704. (i) Shen, R.; Lin, C. T.; Bowman, E. J.; Bowman, B. J.; Porco, J. A., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 7889-7901. (j) Tanoury, G. J.; Chen, M.; Dong, Y.; Forslund, R. E.; Magdziak, D. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 185-188. (k) Smith, A. B., III; Duffey, M. O.; Basu, K.; Walsh, S. P.; Suennemann, H. W.; Frohn, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 422-423. (1) Nicolaou, K. C.; Sun, Y.-P.; Guduru, R.; Banerji, B.; Chen, D. Y.-K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 3633-3644. (m) Nicolaou, K. C.; Guduru, R.; Sun, Y.-P.; Banerji, B.; Chen, D. Y.-K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 5896-5900. (n) Nicolaou, K. C.; Leung, G. Y. C.; Dethe, D. H.; Guduru, R.; Sun, Y.-P.; Lim, C. S.; Chen, D. Y.-K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 10019–10023. (o) Yang, L.; Deng, G.; Wang, D.-X.; Huang, Z.-T.; Zhu, J.-P.; Wang, M.-X. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 1387–1390. (p) Huang, X.; Shao, N.; Huryk, R.; Palani, A.; Aslanian, R.; Seidel-Dugan, C. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 867–870. (q) Palimkar, S. S.; Uenishi, J. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 4160–4163. (r) Palimkar, S. S.; Uenishi, J.; Ii, H. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 388–399.

(2) Sugie, Y.; Dekker, K. A.; Hirai, H.; Ichiba, T.; Ishiguro, M.; Shioni, Y.; Sugiura, A.; Brennan, L.; Duignan, J.; Huang, L. H.; Sutcliffe, J.; Kojima, Y. J. J. Antibiot. 2001, 54, 1060–1065.

(3) (a) Boyd, M. R.; Farina, C.; Belfiore, P.; Gagliardi, S.; Kim, J. W.; Hahakawa, Y.; Beutler, J. A.; Mckee, T. C.; Bowman, B. J.; Bowman, E. J. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. **2001**, 297, 114–120. (b) McDonald, L. A.; Swersey, J. C.; Ireland, C. M.; Carroll, A. R.; Coll, J. C.; Bowden, B. F.; Fairchild, C. R.; Cornell, L. Tetrahedron **1995**, *51*, 5237–5244.

(4) Toske, S. G.; Jensen, P. R.; Kauffman, C. A.; Fenical, W. Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 13459–13466.

(5) Davyt, D.; Entz, W.; Fernandez, R.; Mariezcurrena, R.; Mombrú, A. W.; Saldaña, J.; Domínguez, L.; Coll, J.; Manta, E. J. Nat. Prod. **1998**, *61*, 1560–1563.

(6) (a) Jansen, R.; Washausen, P.; Kunze, B.; Reichenbach, H.; Hofle, G. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, 1999, 1085–1089. (b) Erickson, K. L.; Beutler, J. A.; Cardellina, J. H.; Boyd, M. R. *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, 62, 8188–8192. (c) Vidal, J.-P.; Escale, R.; Girard, J.-P.; Rossi, J.-C.; Chantraine, J. M.; Aumelas, A. *J. Org. Chem.* **1992**, 57, 5857–5860.

(7) (a) Stevenson, P. J.; Graham, I. Arkivoc 2003, 7, 139–144.
(b) Gaulon, C.; Dhal, R.; Chapin, T.; Maisonneuve, V.; Dujardin, G. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 4192–4202.

(8) (a) Roff, G. J.; Lloyd, R. C.; Turner, N. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 4098–4099. (b) Willans, C. E.; Mulders, J. M. C. A.; de Vries, J. G.; de Vries, A. H. M. J. Organomet. Chem. 2003, 687, 494–497.

(9) (a) Matsubara, R.; Nakamura, Y.; Kobayashi, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 1679–1681.

(10) (a) van den Berg, M.; Minnaard, A. J.; Haak, R. M.; Leeman, M.; Schudde, E. P.; Meetsma, A.; Feringa, B. L.; de Vries, H. M.; Maljaars, E. P.; Willans, C. E.; Hyett, D.; Boogers, A. F.; Henderickx, J. W.; de Vries, J. G. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2003**, *345*, 308–323. (b) Blaser, H.-U.; Malan, C.; Pugin, B.; Spindler, F.; Steiner, H.; Studer, M. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2003**, *345*, 103–151.

(11) (a) Bolshan, Y.; Batey, R. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 2109–2112. (b) Lam, P. Y. S.; Vincent, G.; Bonne, D.; Clark, C. G. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 4927–4931. (c) Sergeyev, S.; Hesse, M. Synlett 2002, 8, 1313–1317. (d) Furstner, A.; Brehm, C.; Cancho-Grande, Y. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 3955–3957. (e) Snider, B. B.; Song, F. B. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 407–408.

(12) (a) Bayer, A.; Maier, M. E. Tetrahedron 2004, 60, 6665-6677.
(b) Wang, X.; Porco, J. A., Jr. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 8215-8221.
(c) Kinderman, S. S.; van Maarseveen, J. H.; Schoemaker, H. E.; Hiemstra, H.; Rutjes, F. P. J. T. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 2045-2048.
(d) Speckamp, W. N.; Moolenaar, M. J. Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 3817-3856. (e) Dupau, P.; Le Gendre, P.; Bruneau, C.; Dixneuf, P. H. Synlett 1999, 1999, 1832-1834. (f) Couture, A.; Deniau, E.; Grandclaudon, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 1479-1482. (g) Boeckman, R. K., Jr.; Goldstein, S. W.; Walters, M. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 8250-8252.

(13) (a) Feng, C.; Loh, T.-P. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 3444-3447.
(b) Bolshan, Y.; Batey, R. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 2109-2112. (c) Cesati, R. R; Dwyer, G.; Jones, R. C.; Hayes, M. P.; Yalamanchili, P.; Casebier, D. S. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 5617-5620.
(d) Klapars, A.; Campos, K. R.; Chen, C.; Volante, R. P. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 1185-1188. (e) Tracey, M. R.; Hsung, R. P.; Antoline, J.; Kurtz, K. C. M.; Shen, L.; Slater, B. W.; Jhang, Y. Sci. Synth. 2005, 21, 387-475. (f) Pan, X.; Cai, Q.; Ma, D. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 1809-1812.
(g) Brice, J. L.; Meerdink, J. E.; Stahl, S. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 1845-1848. (h) Han, C.; Shen, R.; Su, S.; Porco, J. A., Jr Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 27-30. (i) Wallace, J.; Klauber, D. J.; Chen, C.; Volante, R. P. Org. Lett. 2003, S, 4749-4752. (j) Jiang, L.; Job, G. E.; Klapars, A.; Buchwald, S. L. Org. Lett. 2003, S, 3667-3669. (k) Shen, R.; Porco, J. A., Jr. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 1333-1136.

(14) For recently review, see: (a) Huang, L. B.; Arndt, M.; Gooßen, K.; Heydt, H.; Gooßen, L. J. *Chem. Rev.* **2015**, *115*, 2596–2697.

(15) For selected examples, see: (a) Dickson, E.; Copp, B. R.; Barker, D. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2013**, *54*, 5239–5242. (b) Gooßen, L.; Blanchot, M.; Arndt, M.; Salih, K. *Synlett* **2010**, 2010, 1685–1687. (c) Gooßen, L.; Blanchot, M.; Salih, K.; Gooßen, K. *Synthesis* **2009**, 2009, 2283–2288. (d) Gooßen, L. J.; Arndt, M.; Blanchot, M.; Rudolphi, F.; Menges, F.; Niedner-Schatteburg, G. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2008**, 350, 2701–2707. (e) Kondo, T.; Tanaka, A.; Kotachi, S.; Watanabe, Y. J. *Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1995**, 413–414.

(16) Sun, J. W.; Kozmin, S. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 4991–4993.

(17) (a) Kornhaaß, C.; Li, J.; Ackermann, L. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 9190–9198. (b) Ackermann, L. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1315–1345.
(c) Han, J.; Zheng, X.; Wang, C.; Zhu, Y.; Shi, D.-Q.; Zeng, R.; Huang, Z.-B.; Zhao, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 9297–9306. (d) Chen, C.; Wang, C.; Zhang, J.; Zhao, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 942–949. (e) Chen, C.; Guan, M.; Zhang, J.; Wen, Z.; Zhao, Y. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 3646–3649. (f) Wang, C.; Chen, C.; Zhang, J.; Han, J.; Wang, Q.; Guo, K.; Liu, P.; Guan, M.; Yao, Y.; Zhao, Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 9884–9888. (g) Wang, Q.; Han, J.; Wang, C.; Zhang, J.; Huang, Z.; Shi, D.; Zhao, Y. Chem. Sci. 2014, 5, 4962–4967. (h) Han, J.; Liu, P.; Wang, C.; Wang, Q.; Zhang, J.; Zhao, Y. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 5682–5685.