## Visible Light-Induced Aerobic Epoxidation of $\alpha_{I}\beta$ -Unsaturated **Ketones Mediated by Amidines**

Yufeng Wu,<sup>†</sup> Guangli Zhou,<sup>‡</sup> Qingwei Meng,<sup>\*,†©</sup> Xiaofei Tang,<sup>†</sup> Guangzhi Liu,<sup>†</sup> Hang Yin,<sup>†</sup> Jingnan Zhao,<sup>†</sup> Fan Yang,<sup>†</sup> Zongyi Yu,<sup>†</sup> and Yi Luo<sup>\*,‡©</sup>

<sup>†</sup>State Key Laboratory of Fine Chemicals, School of Pharmaceutical Science and Technology Department, and <sup>‡</sup>State Key Laboratory of Fine Chemicals, School of Chemical Engineering, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian 116024, China

S Supporting Information

**ABSTRACT:** An aerobic photoepoxidation of  $\alpha_{,\beta}$ -unsaturated ketones driven by visible light in the presence of tetramethylguanidine (3b), tetraphenylporphine  $(H_2TPP)$ , and molecular oxygen under mild conditions was revealed. The corresponding  $\alpha_{\beta}$ -epoxy ketones were obtained in yields of up to 94% in 96 h. The reaction time was shortened to 4.6 h by flow synthesis. The mechanism related to singlet oxygen was supported by experiments and density functional theory (DFT) calculations.



## INTRODUCTION

Visible light-driven photocatalysis is a promising route for 21st century organic chemistry and has attracted much attention in recent years.<sup>1-4</sup> Epoxy ketones are among the most versatile building blocks in organic synthesis and provide intermediates for natural products or biologically active compounds,<sup>5,6</sup> such as manumycin A,<sup>7,8</sup> epoxyquinol,<sup>9</sup> panepophenanthrin,<sup>10</sup> and nisamycin.<sup>11</sup> This wide range of interesting applications has promoted the development of methodologies for the synthesis of epoxy ketones.<sup>12–18</sup> Catalytic epoxidation using molecular oxygen as oxidant<sup>19–25</sup> is an attractive and potential method, which is inexpensive, convenient, and environmentally benign.

Using molecular oxygen as an oxidant is a longstanding strategic subject,<sup>26–29</sup> and significant achievements in aerobic epoxidation have been made.<sup>30–34</sup> Nevertheless, most reports<sup>35-39</sup> have used metallic compounds/additives or metallic catalysts/coreductants (such as Et<sub>2</sub>Zn/amino alcohol,<sup>35</sup> Co(II)TPP-OCH<sub>3</sub>/isobutyraldehyde,<sup>36</sup> and Mn(TPP)-OAc/isobutyraldehyde<sup>37</sup>) (Scheme 1a), which always lead to metal residue and poor atom economy. Only a limited number of reports<sup>40,41</sup> related to the catalytic epoxidation of  $\alpha_{,\beta}$ unsaturated ketones with molecular oxygen as the oxidant in the absence of metallic compounds have been reported. In 2013, Norio Shibata and his co-workers reported an aerobic epoxidation of  $\beta$ -trifluoromethyl- $\beta$ , $\beta$ -disubstituted enones induced by methylhydrazine.<sup>40</sup> They proposed that the key to this success was the unique behavior of H<sub>2</sub>NNHMe for generating hydrogen peroxide in the presence of molecular oxygen and a base (Scheme 1b). H<sub>2</sub>NNHMe was ultimately converted into methane. In the same year, Akichika Itoh and his co-workers developed another method for the epoxidation of  $\alpha_{i}\beta$ -unsaturated ketones in two steps. First, *i*-propanol was oxidized by molecular oxygen catalyzed by anthraquinone-2Scheme 1. Aerobic Epoxidation of  $\alpha_{,\beta}$ -Unsaturated Ketones Using Molecular Oxygen as the Oxidant



carboxylic acid in the presence of visible light. Synchronously, hydrogen peroxide was generated and used as the direct oxidant for the following oxidation of  $\alpha_{,\beta}$ -unsaturated ketones after a base and substrates were added (Scheme 1c).<sup>4</sup>

Photosensitization is an effective method to generate excited singlet oxygen  $({}^{1}O_{2})$  and provides great opportunities to make the protocol simpler, greener, and sustainable, having a bright prospect for its various applications.<sup>42–50</sup> Especially, the reactions of alkenes with singlet oxygen are familiar,<sup>51–55</sup> such as Schenck–ene reactions,<sup>56,57</sup> [2+2]-cycloadditions,<sup>58</sup> [4+2]-cycloadditions,<sup>59–61</sup> and epoxidations.<sup>62–64</sup> However, to

Received: July 11, 2018 Published: October 4, 2018

the best of our knowledge, there is still a lack of methods for the one-step epoxidation of  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated ketones with molecule oxygen as the oxidant by photocatalysis in the absence of metallic compounds. Here, an epoxidation of  $\alpha,\beta$ unsaturated ketones in the presence of tetramethylguanidine, H<sub>2</sub>TPP, and molecular oxygen under visible light is reported (Scheme 1).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As a part of our ongoing study on photooxidation,<sup>65,66</sup> we attempted to extend the methods reported<sup>65,66</sup> to the epoxidation of  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated ketones by using molecular oxygen as the oxidant. First, we attempted to undertake the enantioselective epoxidation of chalcone 1a (0.1 mmol) in toluene in the presence of PTC (10 mol %, reported in the literature<sup>65</sup>), H<sub>2</sub>TPP (1 mol %, 0.001 mmol), K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> (50% aq), molecular oxygen, and visible light. However, the reaction did not go on. Subsequently, some inorganic bases and organic bases, such as Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, KOH, Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, Et<sub>3</sub>N, DMAP, DABCO, morpholine, pyridine, and iminazole, were further demonstrated to have none or poor effect. Fortunately, 3a and 3b were discovered to be effective and gave 2a in yields of 39% and 61%, respectively, in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>TPP, O<sub>2</sub>, and visible light (Scheme 2). This exciting result spurred us to explore the nature of this epoxidation.

Scheme 2. A midines Screening for the Photoepoxidation of  ${\rm Chalcone}^a$ 



<sup>*a*</sup>Reaction conditions: 1a (83.32 mg, 0.4 mmol), amidine (1.2 mmol),  $H_2$ TPP (1.5 mg, 0.0025 mmol), toluene (2 mL), oxygen balloon, white LEDs (10 W), 72 h, 10 °C. <sup>*b*</sup>Yields of isolated product. <sup>*c*</sup>NR, no reaction. <sup>*d*</sup>Turnover number (TON) = moles of the oxidation product/moles of  $H_2$ TPP.

To improve the epoxidation, a series of derivatives of amidines were synthesized and applied to the photoepoxidation of chalcone 1a (Scheme 2). We began our studies by choosing 1a as the model substrate, using H<sub>2</sub>TPP as the photosensitizer, and using LEDs (light emitting diode, white light, 10 W, 4000 K) to provide light. Low yields (8–19%) of 2a were obtained when 3c, 3j, 3k, 3l, 3m, and 3n were used instead of 3b. Moreover, no reaction occurred when 3d, 3h, 3i, and 3o were used. Interestingly, all of those amidines had an electron-withdrawing group or a conjugated group in the N' position, such as a benzyl group or a nitro group. Side reactions occurred when 3e, 3f, and 3g were used, and 2a was obtained in low yields (15-28%). Therefore, **3b** is the best choice among the amidines listed in Scheme 2.

After a suitable amidine 3b had been identified, further reaction optimization was explored (Table 1). Among the

## Table 1. Optimization for the Photoepoxidation of $Chalcone^{a}$

$\land \land \land \land \land \land$			O <sub>2</sub> balloon, <b>3b</b> H <sub>2</sub> TPP, White LEDs				
ĺ	ŢŤ		solvent,	10 °C		Ť	
	Č 1a	Ť			Ť	2a	~
entry	1a (mmol)	3b (mmol)	solvent	T (°C)	time (h)	yield <sup>b</sup> (%)	TON
1	0.4	1.6	toluene	10	96	89	142
2	0.4	1.6	dioxane	10	96	93	149
3	0.4	1.6	$CHCl_3$	10	96	88	146
4	0.4	1.6	THF	10	96	77	123
5	0.4	1.6	ethanol	10	96	36	58
6	0.4	1.6	toluene	-15	96	30	64
7	0.4	1.6	dioxane	42	96	40	102
8	0.4	1.6	dioxane	30	96	64	131
9	0.4	1.6	dioxane	20	96	82	141
10	0.4	1.6	dioxane	4	96	88	128
11	0.2	0.8	dioxane	10	96	90	63
12	0.4	0.4	dioxane	10	240	79	149
13	0.4	3.2	dioxane	10	96	93	123
14	0.4	1.6	dioxane	10	48	80	144
15 <sup>d</sup>	0.4	1.6	dioxane	10	96	77	146
16 <sup>e</sup>	5	15	dioxane	10	96	91	455

<sup>*a*</sup>Reaction conditions:  $H_2TPP$  (1.5 mg, 0.0025 mmol), solvent (2 mL), oxygen balloon, white LEDs (10 W). <sup>*b*</sup>Yields of isolated product. <sup>*c*</sup>TON = moles of the oxidation product/moles of  $H_2TPP$ . <sup>*d*</sup>In air. <sup>*e*</sup> $H_2TPP$  (6.0 mg, 0.01 mmol), dioxane (4 mL).

different solvents shown in Table 1 (entries 1-5), toluene, dioxane, and CHCl<sub>3</sub> could give yields of 88-93% (Table 1, entries 1-3). Especially, dioxane gave 2a in a yield of 93% (Table 1, entry 2). THF gave 2a in a moderate yield of 77% (Table 1, entry 4). Ethanol proved to be less efficient in a yield of 36% (Table 1, entry 5). H<sub>2</sub>TPP is the best photosensitizer among the photosensitizers shown in Scheme 3. It was evident to find that extremely low temperature was bad for the reaction rate through the comparison of the yield in toluene at 10 °C to that at -15 °C (Table 1, entries 1 and 6, 89% and 30%, respectively). Further increasing the temperature was not conducive to improving the yields as expected (Table 1, entries 2, 7–10, 93% (10 °C) > 82% (20 °C) > 64% (30 °C) > 40% (42 °C)). Reducing the concentration of **3b** and **1a** to one-half resulted in a slightly lower yield (Table 1, entry 11, 90%). A 79% yield value could be obtained after 240 h when the ratio of 3b/1a was reduced from 4 to 1 (Table 1, entry 12). Furthermore, there was no significant improvement to the yield value by further increasing the ratio of 3b/1a from 4 to 8 (Table 1, entry 13). The yield decreased clearly from 93% to 80% when the reaction time was shortened to 48 h (Table 1, entry 14). 2a could be obtained in a yield of 77% by using air oxygen as the oxidant (Table 1, entry 15). Furthermore, 2a was obtained in a yield of 91% for the scale-up experiment when amplified to the gram scale with 1.05 g of 1a (5 mmol), 15 mmol of 3b, and 0.01 mmol of TPP in dioxane (4 mL) (Table 1, entry 16).

With the optimal reaction conditions in hand (Table 1, entry 2), the substrate scope of the aerobic epoxidation mediated by

# Scheme 3. Photosensitizer Screening for the Photoepoxidation of $Chalcone^{a}$



<sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions: 1a (83.32 mg, 0.4 mmol), 3b (1.6 mmol), photosensitizer (0.0025 mmol), dioxane (2 mL), oxygen balloon, white LEDs (10 W), 96 h, 10 °C. Yields of isolated product. TON = moles of the oxidation product/moles of the photosensitizer.

**3b** was explored (Scheme 4). Satisfactorily, most of the chalcone derivatives bearing a halogen or alkyl group exhibited excellent yields (2a-2l and 2o, 86-94%) except for 1m and 1n bearing the OMe group (2m and 2n, yields of 77% and 60%, respectively). 2p bearing the CF<sub>3</sub> group was obtained in a yield of 88%. 2q was also obtained in a yield of 48%. It is worth mentioning that the reaction also proceeded for cyclohexenone 1r and 2-cycloheptenone 1s (crude yields of 40% and 80%, respectively). Unfortunately, it is not suited for *N*-isopropyl-cinnamamide 1t and ethyl cinnamate 1u.

In contrast to conventional batch processing, microreactor technology exhibits salient advantages for its large specific surface area, enhanced heat- and mass-transfer rates, reduced safety hazards, and high degree of control over photochemical transformations.<sup>67,68</sup> To accelerate the reaction, Corning Advanced Flow Reactors (Lab Reactors, Figure S2) were used for the reaction investigation (Scheme 5). **2a** was obtained in a yield of 99% (NMR) and an isolated yield of 90% after a total residence time of 4.6 h. The reaction processed in microreactors (Figure S5) was clearly faster than that in reaction tubes (Figure S4).

To investigate the mechanism of this epoxidation, control experiments without  $O_2$ , light,  $H_2$ TPP, and amidines were conducted (Table 2, entries 1–4). Epoxidation did not proceed under a  $N_2$  atmosphere (Table 2, entry 1). This result indicates that the origin of incorporated oxygen atom in the epoxides came from molecular oxygen. The reaction did not occur in the dark (Table 2, entry 2) or did not proceed effectively without the photosensitizer (Table 2, entry 3). This suggests that light was the indispensable driving force for this epoxidation. Additionally, no product was obtained when using DMAP instead of **3b** (Table 2, entry 4). Therefore, tetramethylguanidine,  $O_2$ ,  $H_2$ TPP, and light are imperative.





<sup>*a*</sup>Reaction conditions: **1** (0.4 mmol), **3b** (184 mg, 1.6 mmol), H<sub>2</sub>TPP (1.5 mg, 0.0025 mmol), dioxane (2 mL), oxygen balloon, white LEDs (10 W), 96 h, 10 °C, yields of isolated product; TON = moles of the oxidation product/moles of H<sub>2</sub>TPP. <sup>*b*</sup>The crude yield was determined by GC–MS.

The reaction was suppressed in the presence of the singlet oxygen inhibitors 1,4-diazabicyclo[2,2,2] octane<sup>69,70</sup> (DABCO) and NaN<sub>3</sub><sup>71</sup> (Table 2, entries 5 and 6). Therefore, singlet oxygen may account for the epoxidation. In addition, according to the results of Scheme 6(I), 2a can be obtained in a yield of 32% when the mixture of 3b and  $H_2$ TPP in dioxane was preirradiated under an O2 atmosphere for 36 h, and then moved to the dark conditions and filled with N2. The reaction was completed by adding the substrate 1a and stirring it under a N2 atmosphere for another 48 h. In contrast, little product (Scheme 6(II), <1% yield) was obtained when the mixture of 1a and H<sub>2</sub>TPP in dioxane was preirradiated under an O<sub>2</sub> atmosphere for 36 h, and then moved to the dark conditions, where the substrate 3b was added, and stirred under an  $O_2$ atmosphere for an additional 48 h. Therefore, the key to this reaction was the change of 3b under light. Furthermore, the information regarding [3a + O] (M-3), [3b + O] (3b-2), and [3a + 2O] (M-4) was found in the HRMS spectra (Figures S7 and S8). Additionally, the information regarding  $H_2O_2$  could not be found in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra after irradiating the





<sup>a</sup>Reaction mixture was prepared by dissolving 1a (1.67 g, 8 mmol), 3b (3.68 g, 32 mmol), and  $H_2TPP$  (24 mg, 0.04 mmol) in dioxane (total volume: 20 mL). Reaction mixture, 1.00 mL/min; O<sub>2</sub>, 10.0 mL/min (calculated at standard state (298.15 K, 1 atm)); 10 bar. <sup>b</sup>Yield was determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis. <sup>c</sup>Yield of isolated product.

Table 2. Mechanism Exploration Experiments<sup>4</sup>

entry	3b (mmol)	additive	time (h)	yield <sup>b</sup> (%)
1 <sup>c</sup>	1.6	none	48	0 (0 <sup>f</sup> )
2 <sup><i>d</i></sup>	1.6	none	48	0 (0 <sup>f</sup> )
3 <sup>e</sup>	1.6	none	96	11 (<1% <sup>f,g</sup> )
4	0	DMAP 1.0 mmol	48	0
5 <sup>g</sup>	1.6	DABCO 1.0 mmol	96	<1%
6	1.6	NaN <sub>3</sub> 1.0 mmol	96	28

<sup>*a*</sup>Reaction conditions: **1a** (83.32 mg, 0.4 mmol), H<sub>2</sub>TPP (1.5 mg, 0.0025 mmol), dioxane (2 mL), oxygen balloon, white LEDs (10 W), 10 °C. <sup>*b*</sup>Yields of isolated product. <sup>*c*</sup>Reaction under the nitrogen protection. <sup>*d*</sup>Reaction in the dark. <sup>*c*</sup>Without photosensitizer. <sup>*f*</sup>In toluene. <sup>*g*</sup>Yield was determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis.

mixture of **3b** and  $H_2TPP$  in CDCl<sub>3</sub> or DMSO- $d_6$  (Figures S9 and S10).

On the basis of all of the above results, a plausible mechanism is described as Scheme 7. Under irradiation, the photosensitizer  $Ps^n$  is excited to its excited state  $*Ps^n$ . Singlet oxygen  $({}^{1}O_2)$  is produced from the ground state  $({}^{3}O_2)$  through energy transfer with the excited-state photosensitizer  $*Ps^n$ .  ${}^{1}O_2$  reacts with amidine **A** to give amidine peroxide **B**, which then oxidized the substrate to yield an epoxy ketone (product) via the formation of a C–O bond to form transition state **C** and the breakage of the O–O bond in **C**. Amidine oxide **D** is generated simultaneously.

In addition, density functional theory (DFT) calculations (Scheme 8) were carried out to judge whether the epoxidation could proceed as suggested. Here, the reaction of chalcone (1a) with singlet oxygen mediated by tetramethylguanidine (3b) was chosen as the model reaction. First,  ${}^{1}O_{2}$  reacts with tetramethylguanidine (3b) via the transition state TS-1 to give tetramethylguanidine peroxide (3b-1). This step only needs to overcome a low energy barrier of 8.2 kcal/mol. Subsequently, the newly formed 3b-1 reacts with 1a to yield product 2a via TS-2 featuring C–O bond formation and O–O cleavage. Tetramethylguanidine oxide 3b-2 is released at the same time.





"Reaction conditions: 1a (83.32 mg, 0.4 mmol), 3b (184 mg, 1.6 mmol),  $H_2$ TPP (1.5 mg, 0.0025 mmol), dioxane (2 mL), oxygen ballon, white LEDs (10 W), 10 °C, yield of isolated product. <sup>b</sup>Dark condition: The reaction tube was wrapped with aluminum foil and then covered with black cloth. 'Yield was determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis.

Scheme 7. Proposed Reaction Mechanism







This step has a free energy barrier of only 15.6 kcal/mol, suggesting a feasible process. The whole reaction is exergonic

by 51.8 kcal/mol. Overall, the reaction could feasibly take place from the view of DFT calculations.

## CONCLUSIONS

We reveal an aerobic epoxidation of  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated ketones in excellent yields of up to 94% driven by visible light in the presence of tetramethylguanidine (**3b**), O<sub>2</sub>, and H<sub>2</sub>TPP at 10 °C, in which no metallic compounds participated. The reaction time was shortened from 96 to 4.6 h by flow synthesis. Tetramethylguanidine, O<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>TPP, and light are indispensable. The reaction was suppressed in the presence of the singlet oxygen inhibitors DABCO and NaN<sub>3</sub>, which demonstrated the reaction proceeded through a singlet oxygen process. The detailed reaction mechanisms involving the formation of tetramethylguanidine peroxide (**3b-1**) and oxidation of chalcone were further computationally investigated to show a relatively low activation barrier of 15.6 kcal/mol. The method expands the utility of amidines to the aerobic oxidation.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General. Unless otherwise stated, all commercial reagents and solvents were used without further purification. Analytical TLC was visualized with UV light at 254 and 365 nm. Thin layer chromatography analysis was carried out on TLC glass sheets with silica gel 60 F254. Purification of reaction products was carried out with chromatography on silica gel 60 (200-300 mesh). Microreactor type was Corning advanced flow reactors with two lab reactor reaction modules (internal volume: 2.7 mL, with extra white LEDs light strip 20 W) and a lab photo reaction module (internal volume: 3 mL). High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were taken on liquid chromatograph/mass spectrometers (Thermo Scientific LTQ Orbitrap XL or Agilent G6224A). Gas chromatography mass spectra (GC-MS) were taken on a gas chromatograph mass spectrometer (Agilent 5975C). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 or 500 MHz) spectra and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 or 110 MHz) spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance II 400 or Bruker Avance III 500 spectrometer.

General Procedure To Prepare Substrates 1a-1q. To a stirred solution of benzaldehyde (2.12 g, 20 mmol) and acetophenone (2.40 g, 20 mmol) in ethanol (50 mL) at room temperature was added Ba(OH)<sub>2</sub> (0.06 g, 0.02 mmol). The reaction was conducted at room temperature (or refluxed if not reacted at room temperature). After complete consumption of one of the starting materials as indicated by TLC (usually within 60 min), we added 20 mL of water, extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 mL × 3), washed with saturated brine, dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by recrystallization (or by silica-gel column chromatography).

(*E*)-*Chalcone* (**1a**).<sup>72</sup> Purification by recrystallization (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 20:1, v/v) afforded **1a** as a light yellow solid (3.77g, 90.7% yield), mp 57–58 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  8.05–7.99 (m, 2H), 7.81 (d, *J* = 15.7 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (m, *J* = 6.6, 2.9 Hz, 2H), 7.58 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.56–7.47 (m, 3H), 7.42 (m, *J* = 5.0, 1.9 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  190.6, 144.9, 138.3, 135.0, 132.9, 130.6, 129.0, 128.7, 128.6, 128.5, 122.2. HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m*/*z*: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>13</sub>O, 209.0961; found, 209.0958.

(E)-3-(2-Chlorophenyl)-1-phenylprop-2-en-1-one (**1b**).<sup>73</sup> Purification by recrystallization (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 20:1, v/v) afforded **1b** as a light yellow solid (4.18 g, 86.1% yield), mp 50–52 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-d):  $\delta$  8.18 (d, *J* = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 8.09–7.91 (m, 2H), 7.80–7.68 (m, 1H), 7.64–7.54 (m, 1H), 7.54– 7.39 (m, 4H), 7.38–7.27 (m, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, chloroformd):  $\delta$  190.4, 140.6, 138.0, 135.5, 133.3, 133.0, 131.2, 130.3, 128.7 (d, *J* = 5.6 Hz), 127.8, 127.2, 124.8.

(E)-3-(3-Chlorophenyl)-1-phenylprop-2-en-1-one (1c).<sup>74</sup> Purification by recrystallization (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 20:1, v/v) afforded 1c as a light yellow solid (3.01 g, 62.0% yield), mp 75–77 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  8.02 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.74 (d, *J* = 15.7 Hz, 1H), 7.68–7.57 (m, 2H), 7.57–7.45 (m, 4H), 7.42–7.31 (m, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  190.1, 143.1, 138.0, 136.8, 135.1, 133.1, 130.3 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz), 128.7 (d, *J* = 16.1 Hz), 128.0, 126.9, 123.3.

(*E*)-3-(4-Chlorophenyl)-1-phenylprop-2-en-1-one (**1d**).<sup>72</sup> Purification by column separation (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 20:1, v/ v) afforded **1d** as a light yellow solid (4.60 g, 94.8% yield), mp 56–58 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  8.05 (m, *J* = 8.5, 4.4 Hz, 2H), 7.95–7.77 (m, 1H), 7.75–7.38 (m, 8H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  190.5, 144.8, 138.2, 134.9, 132.8, 130.6, 129.0 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz), 128.7 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz), 128.7 (m), 122.1.

(*E*)-3-(3-*Methoxyphenyl*)-1-*phenylprop*-2-*en*-1-one (1*e*).<sup>73</sup> Purification by column separation (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 20:1, v/v) afforded 1*e* as a light yellow solid (3.89 g, 94.8% yield), mp 58–59 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  8.02 (m *J* = 7.4, 1.9, 1.0 Hz, 2H), 7.77 (d, *J* = 15.7 Hz, 1H), 7.65–7.56 (m, 1H), 7.55–7.46 (m, 3H), 7.38–7.30 (m, 1H), 7.26 (m, *J* = 1.9, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.16 (m, *J* = 2.9, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.04–6.91 (m, 1H), 3.86 (d, *J* = 0.8 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  190.5, 156.0, 144.7, 138.2, 136.3, 132.8, 130.0, 128.6 (d, *J* = 11.7 Hz), 122.4, 121.1, 116.3, 113.5, 55.3.

(E)-1-Phenyl-3-(p-tolyl)prop-2-en-1-one (1f).<sup>75</sup> Purification by column separation (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 20:1, v/v) afforded 1f as a light yellow solid (2,73 g, 61.4% yield), mp 96–97 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-d):  $\delta$  8.10–7.92 (m, 2H), 7.78 (d, J = 15.7 Hz, 1H), 7.52 (m, J = 15.5, 10.5, 3.2 Hz, 6H), 7.22 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 2.38 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-d):  $\delta$  190.7, 145.0, 141.2, 138.5, 132.8, 132.3, 129.8, 128.7, 128.6 (d, J = 2.0 Hz), 121.2, 21.6.

(*E*)-1-(2-Chlorophenyl)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-one (**1g**).<sup>72</sup> Purification by column separation (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 20:1, v/v) afforded **1g** as a light yellow oil (4.46 g, 91.8% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  7.62–7.51 (m, 2H), 7.50–7.32 (m, 8H), 7.12 (m, *J* = 16.1, 2.4 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  193.8, 146.3, 139.1, 134.4, 131.5, 130.9, 130.3, 129.4, 129.0, 128.6, 126.9, 126.3.

(*E*)-1-(3-Chlorophenyl)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-one (**1h**).<sup>76</sup> Purification by recrystallization (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 20:1, v/v) afforded **1h** as a light yellow solid (4.31 g, 88.8% yield), mp 97–98 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  7.99 (d, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (m, *J* = 7.8, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.83 (d, *J* = 15.7 Hz, 1H), 7.66 (m, *J* = 6.7, 3.1 Hz, 2H), 7.59–7.53 (m, 1H), 7.51–7.39 (m, 5H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  188.9, 145.6, 139.8, 134.9, 134.6, 132.7, 130.8, 130.0 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz), 129.0, 128.6 (d, *J* = 2.7 Hz), 126.6, 121.4.

(*E*)-1-(4-Chlorophenyl)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-one (1i).<sup>72</sup> Purification by recrystallization (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 20:1, v/v) afforded 1i as a light yellow solid (4.00 g, 82.4% yield), mp 101–103 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  8.00 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.85 (d, *J* = 15.7 Hz, 1H), 7.67 (m, *J* = 6.4, 3.0 Hz, 2H), 7.58–7.47 (m, 3H), 7.49–7.42 (m, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  189.2, 145.4, 139.3, 136.6, 134.8, 130.8, 130.0 (d, *J* = 3.7 Hz), 129.1 (dd, *J* = 7.1, 3.4 Hz), 128.6 (d, *J* = 3.4 Hz), 121.60.

(E)-3-Phenyl-1-(o-tolyl)prop-2-en-1-one (1j).<sup>77</sup> Purification by column separation (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 20:1, v/v) afforded 1j as a light yellow oil (4.10 g, 92.3% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-d):  $\delta$  7.60–7.53 (m, 2H), 7.53–7.47 (m, 1H), 7.46–7.35 (m, 5H), 7.33–7.24 (m, 2H), 7.14 (d, J = 16.1 Hz, 1H), 2.45 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-d):  $\delta$  196.6, 145.9, 139.2, 137.0, 134.7, 131.4, 130.7, 130.5, 129.0, 128.5, 128.2, 126.8, 125.6, 20.3.

(E)-3-Phenyl-1-(m-tolyl)prop-2-en-1-one (1k).<sup>77</sup> Purification by recrystallization (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 20:1, v/v) afforded 1k as a light yellow solid (3.51 g, 78.9% yield), mp 62–64 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-d):  $\delta$  7.88–7.75 (m, 3H), 7.65 (m, *J* = 6.6, 2.9 Hz, 2H), 7.53 (d, *J* = 15.7 Hz, 1H), 7.41 (m, *J* = 8.5, 4.9, 2.8 Hz, 5H), 2.45 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-d):  $\delta$  190.7, 144.7, 138.5, 138.3, 135.0, 133.7, 130.6, 129.1, 129.0, 128.6, 128.5, 125.8, 122.3, 21.5.

(E)-3-Phenyl-1-(p-tolyl)prop-2-en-1-one (11).<sup>72</sup> Purification by recrystallization (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 20:1, v/v) afforded 11 as a light yellow solid (2.97 g, 66.8% yield), mp 56–58 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-d):  $\delta$  8.04–7.89 (m, 2H), 7.81 (d, J = 15.7 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (m, J = 6.6, 3.0 Hz, 2H), 7.54 (d, J = 15.7 Hz, 1H), 7.41 (m, J = 5.0, 2.0 Hz, 3H), 7.30 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 2.44 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-d):  $\delta$  189.9, 144.3, 143.6, 135.6, 135.0, 130.4, 129.3, 128.9, 128.7, 128.4, 122.1, 21.7.

(*E*)-1-(3-*Methoxyphenyl*)-3-*phenylprop*-2-*en*-1-*one* (1*m*).<sup>78</sup> Purification by column separation (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 20:1, v/v) afforded 1**m** as a colorless oil (4.33 g, 91.0% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  7.80 (m, *J* = 15.6, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (m, *J* = 15.5, 7.5, 2.6 Hz, 3H), 7.56–7.47 (m, 2H), 7.41 (m, *J* = 5.7, 2.4 Hz, 4H), 7.12 (m, *J* = 8.2, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 3.87 (s, *J* = 3.2 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  190.3, 160.0, 144.9, 139.7, 135.0, 130.6, 129.7, 129.0, 128.5, 122.2, 121.1, 119.4, 113.0, 55.6.

(E)-1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-one (1n).<sup>72</sup> Purification by recrystallization (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 20:1, v/v) afforded 1n as a white solid (3.74 g, 78.6% yield), mp 107–109 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-d):  $\delta$  8.05 (m, *J* = 8.9, 3.9 Hz, 2H), 7.93–7.73 (m, 1H), 7.71–7.53 (m, 3H), 7.48–7.34 (m, 3H), 6.99 (m, *J* = 9.0, 3.7 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-d):  $\delta$  188.8, 163.6, 144.1, 135.2, 131.2, 130.9, 130.4, 129.0, 128.5, 122.0, 114.0, 55.6.

(E)-3-(Naphthalen-2-yl)-1-phenylprop-2-en-1-one (10).<sup>79</sup> Purification by recrystallization (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 20:1, v/v) afforded 10 as a yellow solid (4.37 g, 84.7% yield), mp 87–89 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-d):  $\delta$  8.71 (d, *J* = 15.4 Hz, 1H), 8.35–8.22 (m, 1H), 8.18–8.05 (m, 2H), 8.00–7.86 (m, 3H), 7.73–7.47 (m, 7H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-d):  $\delta$  190.3, 141.8, 138.3, 133.8, 133.0, 132.4, 131.9, 130.9, 128.9, 128.8, 128.7, 127.1, 126.4, 125.5, 125.2, 124.7, 123.6.

(Z)-4,4,4-Trifluoro-1,3-diphenylbut-2-en-1-one (1p). 1p was prepared according to the literature.<sup>80</sup> Yellow oil at room temperature, yellow solid at 0–8 °C (2.29 g, 83% yield), mp 0–4 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-d):  $\delta$  7.85 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.63–7.50 (m, 1H), 7.42 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.37–7.23 (m, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-d):  $\delta$  192.2, 139.2, 138.9, 136.2, 134.0, 131.0, 129.5, 129.2, 129.0, 128.8, 128.5, 124.4, 121.6.

(*E*)-Benzylidenepinacolone (**1q**).<sup>81</sup> The reactant of pinacolone is 2.0 equiv. Purification by column separation (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 25:1, v/v) afforded **1q** as a light yellow solid (3.78 g, 99% yield), mp 40–42 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  7.68 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (dd, J = 6.7, 2.9 Hz, 2H), 7.38 (m, J = 4.9, 1.9 Hz, 3H), 7.13 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 1.23 (s, 9H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  204.3, 143.0, 135.1, 130.3, 129.0, 128.4, 120.9, 43.4, 26.5.

*N*-lsopropylcinnamamide (**1t**).<sup>82</sup> White solid, mp 39–41 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  7.62 (d, *J* = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 7.53–7.44 (m, 2H), 7.33 (m, *J* = 5.1, 2.2 Hz, 3H), 6.40 (m, *J* = 15.6, 8.7, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 5.74 (d, *J* = 57.3 Hz, 1H), 4.23 (m, *J* = 8.0, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 1.22 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  165.2, 140.8, 140.8, 135.1, 129.7, 129.6, 128.9, 127.8, 121.3, 121.2, 41.7, 23.0, 22.9.

*Ethyl Cinnamate* (1*u*).<sup>83</sup> Light yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  7.69 (d, *J* = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 7.51 (m, *J* = 6.1, 3.5 Hz, 2H), 7.44–7.29 (m, 3H), 6.44 (d, *J* = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 4.26 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 1.34 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  167.1, 144.7, 134.6, 130.3, 129.0, 128.2, 118.4, 60.6, 14.5.

General Procedure To Prepare Products 2a-2q. 3b (200 ul, 1.6 mmol) was added to the mixture solution of 1a (83.32 mg, 0.4 mmol) and H<sub>2</sub>TPP (1.5 mg, 0.0025 mmol) in dioxane (2 mL) in a Schlenk tube equipped with a stir bar and oxygen balloon. The mixture was irradiated by white light (LEDs light strip, 10 W/m, 10 W, 4000 K) and stirred under an O<sub>2</sub> atmosphere at 10 °C for 96 h. It was then purified by chromatography (silica gel, PE/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/EtOAc = 25:1:1) to afford the product.

Phenyl(3-phenyloxiran-2-yl)methanone (**2a**).<sup>84</sup> White solid (83.20 mg, 93% yield), mp 87–88 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-d): δ 8.02 (m, J = 5.0, 2.4 Hz, 2H), 7.63 (m, J = 7.7, 2.6

Hz, 1H), 7.51 (m, *J* = 7.8, 6.4, 3.5 Hz, 2H), 7.40 (q, *J* = 5.3, 4.6 Hz, 5H), 4.39–4.26 (m, 1H), 4.17–4.03 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 193.1, 135.5, 134.0, 129.1, 128.9, 128.8, 128.7, 128.3, 128.2, 125.8, 125.7, 61.0, 59.4. HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m/z*: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>13</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 225.0910; found, 225.0910.

(3-(2-Chlorophenyl)oxiran-2-yl)(phenyl)methanone (**2b**).<sup>85</sup> Light yellow solid (96.81 mg, 90%), mp 71–73 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  8.17–8.00 (m, 3H), 7.63 (m, *J* = 7.6, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 7.57–7.47 (m, 2H), 7.40 (m, *J* = 6.9, 2.8, 2.2 Hz, 2H), 7.37–7.28 (m, 1H), 4.41 (t, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 4.19 (t, *J* = 2.3 Hz, 1H).<sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  192.8, 135.4, 134.1, 133.8, 133.3, 129.8, 129.4, 128.9, 128.4, 127.3, 126.2, 60.1, 57.2.

(3-(3-Chlorophenyl)oxiran-2-yl)(phenyl)methanone (2c).<sup>79</sup> Yellow solid (92.50 mg, 89% yield), mp 59–61 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 8.19–8.07 (m, 2H), 7.81–7.70 (m, 1H), 7.61 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.54–7.42 (m, 3H), 7.38 (m, *J* = 4.8, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 4.39 (t, *J* = 2.7 Hz, 1H), 4.17 (d, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 192.6, 137.7, 135.4, 134.9, 134.2, 130.1, 129.2, 129.0, 128.4, 125.8, 124.2, 60.8, 58.5.

(3-(4-Chlorophenyl)oxiran-2-yl)(phenyl)methanone (**2d**).<sup>85</sup> Light yellow solid (95.27 mg, 92% yield), mp 87–89 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 8.02 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.70–7.58 (m, 1H), 7.58–7.47 (m, 2H), 7.45–7.28 (m, 4H), 4.32 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 4.09 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 193.1, 135.5, 134.0, 129.1, 128.9, 128.8, 128.4, 127.2, 125.8, 61.0, 59.4.

(3-(3-Methoxyphenyl)oxiran-2-yl)(phenyl)methanone (2e).<sup>86</sup> Light yellow solid (89.80 mg, 88% yield), mp 75–77 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  8.00 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.77–7.20 (m, 4H), 6.94 (m, *J* = 22.5, 7.0 Hz, 3H), 4.30 (s, 1H), 4.05 (s, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  193.0, 160.1, 137.2, 135.4, 134.0, 129.9, 128.9, 128.3, 118.2, 114.7, 110.9, 60.9, 59.3, 55.3.

*Phenyl*(*3*-(*p*-tolyl)oxiran-2-yl)methanone (**2f**).<sup>79</sup> White solid (88.38 mg, 94% yield), mp 82–84 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 8.15–7.98 (m, 2H), 7.71–7.58 (m, 1H), 7.58–7.45 (m, 2H), 7.37–7.17 (m, 4H), 4.32 (t, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 4.06 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 2.40 (d, *J* = 4.4 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 193.2, 139.0, 135.5, 134.0, 132.5, 129.5, 128.9, 128.3, 125.8, 61.0, 59.5, 21.3.

(2-Chlorophenyl)(3-phenyloxiran-2-yl)methanone (**2g**).<sup>86,87</sup> Light yellow solid (95.48 mg, 89% yield), mp 69–71 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  7.71–7.59 (m, 1H), 7.52–7.29 (m, 8H), 4.17 (d, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 4.11 (d, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  196.2, 136.5, 135.2, 133.0, 132.1, 130.4, 130.1, 129.0, 128.7, 127.2, 125.8, 62.9, 60.4.

(3-Chlorophenyl)(3-phenyloxiran-2-yl)methanone (**2h**).<sup>88</sup> Yellow oil (102.72 mg, 88% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  8.01 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.91 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.55–7.31 (m, 6H), 4.26 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 4.10 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  192.1, 136.9, 135.3, 135.2, 133.9, 130.3, 129.2, 128.9, 128.4, 126.6, 125.9, 61.0, 59.6.

(4-Chlorophenyl)(3-phenyloxiran-2-yl)methanone (2i).<sup>85</sup> Light yellow solid (88.67 mg, 86% yield), mp 121–124 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  7.94 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.50–7.31 (m, 7H), 4.23 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 4.06 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  192.1, 140.6, 135.3, 133.7, 129.8, 129.2, 129.2, 128.8, 125.8, 61.1, 59.4.

(3-Phenyloxiran-2-yl)(o-tolyl)methanone (**2j**).<sup>86</sup> Yellow oil (84.74 mg, 93% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 7.71 (t, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.62–7.05 (m, 8H), 4.10 (m, *J* = 29.7, 7.8 Hz, 1H), 2.57 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 196.5, 138.8, 135.5, 135.4, 132.3, 132.0, 129.0, 129.0, 128.8, 125.8, 62.4, 59.5, 21.0. (3-Phenyloxiran-2-yl)(m-tolyl)methanone (**2***k*).<sup>88</sup> Light yellow

(3-Phenyloxiran-2-yl)(m-tolyl)methanone (2k).<sup>88</sup> Light yellow solid (81.17 mg, 86% yield), mp 58–59 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-d):  $\delta$  7.90–7.77 (m, 2H), 7.42 (m, *J* = 10.5, 9.8, 4.7 Hz, 7H), 4.32 (t, *J* = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 4.09 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 2.42 (s, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-d):  $\delta$  193.2, 138.8, 135.6 (d, *J* = 4.5 Hz), 134.8, 129.1, 128.8 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz), 125.8, 125.6, 60.9, 59.4, 21.4.

(3-Phenyloxiran-2-yl)(p-tolyl)methanone (21).<sup>85</sup> Light yellow solid (89.76 mg, 92% yield), mp 86–87 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz,

chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  7.93 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.40 (p, *J* = 7.3, 6.1 Hz, 5H), 7.29 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 4.30 (d, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 4.14–4.03 (m, 1H), 2.44 (d, *J* = 4.9 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  192.6, 145.1, 135.6, 133.0, 129.6, 129.0, 128.8, 128.5, 125.8, 60.9, 59.3, 21.8.

(3-Methoxyphenyl)(3-phenyloxiran-2-yl)methanone (**2m**).<sup>88</sup> Yellow oil (85.76 mg, 77% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  7.71–7.50 (m, 2H), 7.50–7.29 (m, 6H), 7.27–7.06 (m, 1H), 4.30 (s, 1H), 4.18–4.00 (m, 1H), 3.86 (m, *J* = 15.9, 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  192.9, 160.0, 136.8, 135.5, 129.9, 129.1, 128.8, 125.8, 121.0, 120.5, 112.5, 61.0, 59.4, 55.5.

(4-Methoxyphenyl)(3-phenyloxiran-2-yl)methanone (2n).<sup>79</sup> Yellow oil (61.70 mg, 60% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  8.07–7.79 (m, 2H), 7.47–7.27 (m, 5H), 6.93 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 4.25 (d, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 4.06 (d, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 3.85 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  191.4, 164.3, 135.7, 130.8, 129.0, 128.8, 128.6, 125.8, 114.1, 60.9, 59.2, 55.6.

(3-(Naphthalen-2-yl)oxiran-2-yl)(phenyl)methanone (20).<sup>79</sup> White solid (96.81 mg, 87% yield), mp 113–115 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 8.12–8.04 (m, 2H), 8.00 (m, *J* = 7.4, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 7.96–7.84 (m, 2H), 7.70–7.59 (m, 2H), 7.57–7.44 (m, SH), 4.73 (d, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 4.31 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 193.4, 135.6, 134.2, 133.4, 131.8, 131.3, 129.1, 129.0, 128.6, 126.9, 126.3, 125.6, 122.7, 122.6, 60.3, 58.0.

*Phenyl*(3-*phenyl*-3-(*trifluoromethyl*)*oxiran*-2-*yl*)*methanone* (**2p**).<sup>89</sup> White solid (104.86 mg, 88% yield), mp 81–82 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 7.83–7.78 (m, 2H), 7.54 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.40 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.37–7.30 (m, 2H), 7.21 (m, *J* = 14.5, 8.4, 6.2 Hz, 3H), 4.71 (s, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 189.5, 135.0, 134.4, 130.0, 129.0, 128.5, 128.3, 128.2, 126.9, 124.1, 121.4, 63.0, 62.7, 60.2.

2,2-Dimethyl-1-(3-phenyloxiran-2-yl)propan-1-one (**2q**).<sup>90</sup> Colorless oil (39.24 mg, 48% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-d):  $\delta$  7.48–7.34 (m, 3H), 7.33–7.28 (m, 2H),3.86 (s, 2H), 1.23 (s, 9H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-d):  $\delta$  208.2, 135.7, 129.0, 128.8, 125.7, 59.4, 59.2, 43.7, 25.8.

Procedure To Investigate the Reaction of 1r and 1s. 3b (1.6 mmol) was added to the mixture solution of 1r (38.45 g, 0.4 mmol) and H<sub>2</sub>TPP (1.5 mg, 0.0025 mmol) in dioxane (2 mL) in a Schlenk tube equipped with a stir bar and O<sub>2</sub> balloon. The mixture was irradiated with white light (LEDs, 10 W, 4000 K) and stirred under an O<sub>2</sub> atmosphere at 10 °C for 96 h. We added 20 mL of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> to the reaction mixture, washed with HCl aqueous solution (1 mmol/mL) and brine, and dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The mixture solution was filtered before injecting into the gas chromatograph mass spectrometer (Agilent 5975C). The crude yield was determined by GC–MS adopting the area normalization method. 1r: MS calcd for C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>8</sub>O, 96.1; found, 96.0. 2r: MS calcd for C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>8</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 112.1; found, 112.0. 1s: MS calcd for C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>10</sub>O, 110.1; found, 110.1. 2r: MS calcd for C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>10</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 126.1; found, 126.0.

Procedure To Prepare Amidines 3c-3o.<sup>91</sup> Vilsmeyer salt tetramethylchloroformamidinium hexafluorophosphate (3.36 g, 12 mmol) in MeCN (20 mL) was slowly added to a mixture solution of amine (isopropylamine, 0.80 g, 12 mmol) and Et<sub>3</sub>N (2.4 g, 24 mmol) in MeCN (20 mL) under cooling in an ice bath and a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. After 30 min, the mixture was refluxed for 3 h. Next, 2 equiv of NaOH dissolved in a minimum amount of water was added under vigorous stirring to deprotonate. The mixture was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>. After removal of the solvent as well as excess Et<sub>3</sub>N, the residue was distilled under reduced pressure to afford the final product.

2-lsopropyl-1,1,3,3-tetramethylguanidine (**3c**).<sup>92</sup> Light yellow oil (1.16 g, 73.8% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 3.58 (m, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 2.97 (s, 12H), 1.27 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 161.0, 77.4, 47.9, 39.9, 23.2. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>3</sub>, 158.1652; found, 158.1652.

1,1,3,3-Tetramethyl-2-phenylguanidine (**3d**).<sup>93</sup> Yellow oil (1.64 g, 85.7% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-d):  $\delta$  7.12 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 6.76 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.64 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 2.61 (s,

12H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>): *δ* 158.3, 151.9, 128.4, 121.2, 119.1, 39.3.

Procedure To Prepare Amidines 3e-3f. A solution of Smethylisothiourea sulfate (5.53 g, 20 mmol) in water (30 mL) was cooled with an ice bath. Amine (100 mmol) was added dropwise with stirring. We stirred the mixture at room temperature for 16 h and then refluxed for 4–5 h. The solution was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue was crystallized from 95% EtOH to give guanidine sulfate. We dissolved the guanidine sulfate with water (50 mL) and added Ba(OH)<sub>2</sub> (6.94 g, 22 mmol). We stirred it for 1 h at 50 °C to deprotonate, added EtOH (50 mL), filtered to remove the BaSO<sub>4</sub>, and evaporated under reduced pressure to give the crude product. We dissolved the crude product to CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and removed the impurity by filtering. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure, and dried in vacuo to afford the final product.

1-Methylguanidine (**3e**).<sup>94</sup> Light yellow waxy solid (2.77 g, 94.8% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, deuterium oxide): δ 2.69 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): δ 158.7, 28.6. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>8</sub>N<sub>3</sub>, 74.0713; found, 74.0709. 1-Ethylguanidine (**3f**).<sup>95</sup> Light yellow oil (3.21 g, 92.0% yield). <sup>1</sup>H

1-*Ethylguanidine* (**3f**).<sup>95</sup> Light yellow oil (3.21 g, 92.0% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, deuterium oxide):  $\delta$  3.08 (q, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 1.10 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  157.8, 36.0, 15.3. HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m*/*z*: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>10</sub>N<sub>3</sub>, 88.0869; found, 88.0879.

1-*isopropylguanidine* (**3g**).<sup>96</sup> Yellow oil (3.37 g, 83.3% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, deuterium oxide): δ 3.55 (m, J = 9.9, 6.3, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 1.09 (m, J = 6.2, 2.7 Hz, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): δ 157.2, 42.0, 23.3. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>3</sub>, 102.1026; found, 102.1024.

Procedure To Prepare Amidines 3h.97 First step: DMC was prepared according to the literature.<sup>98</sup> To a solution of DMI (2.28 g, 20 mmol) in CCl<sub>4</sub> (50 mL) was added trichlormethychlorlformate (1.96 g, 6.6 mmol) at room temperature, which was stirred for 5 h. It was filtered, washed with CCl4 and n-hexane, and dried to afford DMC (2.43 g, 72.0% yield). Second step: 3h was prepared according to the literature.<sup>97</sup> A solution of amine (0.93 g, 10 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$ (10 mL) was slowly added to a solution of DMC (1.69 g, 10 mmol) and Et<sub>3</sub>N (2.01g, 20 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL) at 0-5 °C under a N2 atmosphere. The mixture then was stirred at room temperature for 5 h, and the solvent was evaporated. The residue was dissolved in  $H_2O$  (4 mL), basified with aqueous NaOH (20%) to pH = 12, and extracted with toluene (5  $\times$  30 mL). The combined organic layers was washed with  $H_2O~(10\times5~mL)$  and brine (5  $\times$  10 mL), dried over MgSO4, filtered, evaporated under reduced pressure, and dried in vacuo to give 1,3-dimethyl-2-phenylimino-1,3-diazolidine (3h) as a yellow oil (0.98 g, 52.3% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  7.10 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 6.79 (m, J = 24.6, 7.6 Hz, 3H), 3.17 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 4H), 2.55 (d, J = 3.2 Hz, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  154.8, 150.2, 128.3, 122.0, 119.4, 48.0, 34.8.

2-(*Nitroimino*)*imidazolidine*<sup>99</sup> (**3***i*). White solid, mp 215–217 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  8.40 (s, 2H), 3.57 (s, 4H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  163.2, 42.0. HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m*/*z*: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 131.0564; found, 131.0565.

Procedure To Prepare Amidines 3j-3k.<sup>100</sup> A stirred mixture of carbodiimide (N,N-diisopropylcarbodiimide, 2.52 g, 20 mmol) and NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (2.14 g, 40 mmol) in dry acetonitrile (10 mL) was heated under reflux for 24 h. We then cooled the mixture (0 °C) and obtained the colorless crystals (guanidinium chloride) by filtering. The crystals were suspended in dichloromethane (50 mL) and basified with aqueous NaOH (40%, 30 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane (3 × 50 mL). The combined organic layer was dried over powder KOH, filtered, evaporated under reduced pressure, and dried in vacuo to give the product. (E)-1,2-Diisopropylguanidine (3j).<sup>100</sup> White solid (1.718 g, yield

(*E*)-1,2-*Diisopropylguanidine* (*3j*).<sup>100</sup> White solid (1.718 g, yield 60.0%), mp 62–64 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  3.64 (p, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 2H), 1.14 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 12H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  157.0, 77.4, 43.0, 23.4. HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m*/*z*: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N<sub>3</sub>, 144.1495; found, 144.1495.

(*E*)-1,2-Di-tert-buty/guanidine (3k).<sup>100</sup> White solid (1.64 g, yield 47.8%), mp 136–138 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-d):  $\delta$  1.31

(s, 24H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  157.8, 77.4, 50.2, 30.0. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z:  $[M + H]^+$  calcd for  $C_9H_{22}N_3$ , 172.1808; found, 172.1807.

**Preparation of 31.**<sup>100</sup> A stirred solution of *N*,*N*-diisopropylcarbodiimide (2.52 g, 20 mol) and isopropylammonium chloride (2.39 g, 25 mmol) in ethanol (10 mL) was heated under reflux for 24 h, followed by distillation of the solvent in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in water and the solution made basic with aq NaOH (20%). It was extracted with dichloromethane, dried of the combined organic layers with  $K_2CO_3$ , filtered, evaporated under reduced pressure, and dried in vacuo to give the product.

1,2,3-Triisopropylguanidine (31). White solid (2.33 g, 63% yield), mp 57–59 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 3.62–3.25 (m, 3H), 1.08 (m, J = 6.4, 1.8 Hz, 18H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 150.2, 44.5, 23.9. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>3</sub>, 186.1965; found, 186.1962.

Procedure To Prepare Amidines 3m-3o.<sup>101</sup> A mixture of amine (isopropylamine, 1.18 g, 20 mmol) and DMF dimethyl acetal (3.57 g, 30 mmol) in methanol (30 mL) was heated to 70 °C with stirring under a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere for 3 h or until completion as indicated by TLC. The mixture was cooled to room temperature, and the product was isolated via evaporation to dryness to give the crude product 3m-3o. It was purified by distillation or column separation to obtain the product.

(*E*)-*N'*-*IsopropyI-N,N-dimethylformimidamide* (*3m*).<sup>102</sup> Colorless transparent liquid (reduced pressure distillation, 1.48 g, 64.9% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  7.35 (s, 1H), 3.23 (p, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 2.71 (s, 6H), 1.00 (d, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  152.6, 55.1, 36.5, 25.9.

(E)-N'-tert-Butyl-N,N-dimethylformimidamide (3n).<sup>103</sup> Colorless transparent liquid (reduced pressure distillation, 1.86 g, 72.4% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-d):  $\delta$  7.32 (s, 1H), 2.83 (s, 6H), 1.18 (s, 9H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  150.3, 52.3, 36.3, 31.3.

(E)-N,N-Dimethyl-N'-phenylformimidamide (**3o**).<sup>104</sup> Purification by column separation (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH = 20/1, v/v), light yellow oil (2.76 g, 93.2% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  5.52(s, 1H), 7.30–7.23 (m, 2H), 7.01 (m, *J* = 7.3, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 6.98–6.94 (m, 2H), 3.02 (s, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  153.4, 152.0, 128.7, 121.5, 120.8, 33.9.

**Characterization for Photosensitizers.** *10-Methyl-9-phenyl-acridin-10-ium Perchlorate (Mes-Acr<sup>+</sup>).*<sup>705</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  8.81 (m, J = 9.3, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 8.41 (m, J = 8.8, 6.6, 1.8 Hz, 2H), 7.85 (m, J = 8.7, 1.8 Hz, 2H), 7.83–7.74 (m, 2H), 7.16 (s, 2H), 5.11 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 3H), 2.48 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 3H), 1.73 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 6H).

3,3',5,5'-Tetraphenyl-ms-aza-2,2'-dipyrrolylmethene Difluoroborate (**Aza-BODIPY**).<sup>106</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$ 8.07 (td, *J* = 7.2, 6.7, 2.1 Hz, 8H), 7.68–7.33 (m, 12H), 7.04 (s, 2H).

Reaction in Microreactors. A Corning Advanced Flow reactor (Lab reactors) was used for the reaction investigation. To guarantee sufficient light and reaction time, two lab reactor reaction modules (internal volume, 2.7 mL;  $L \times W \times H$ , 155 mm  $\times$  125 mm  $\times$  8 mm, with an extra white LED light strip 20 W, 4000 K) and a lab photo reaction module (internal volume, 3 mL;  $L \times W \times H$ , 155 mm  $\times$  125 mm  $\times$  8 mm; equipped with an original LEDs light module, 20 W, 4000 K) were used (Figures S2 and S3). Total power of the LEDs light was 40 W. The total internal volume of reaction modules is 8.4 mL. A circulating temperature bath (thermostat) pumped oil through an integrated heat-exchanger to maintain the reaction temperature at 20 °C. A back pressure regulator (0-20 bar) was added to the outlet of the lab photo reaction module to increase the solubility of O2. O2 was inlet to the reaction modules with the gas dosing line in the dosing module (including a mass flow gas controller). The reaction mixture was inlet to the reaction modules with the liquid dosing line in the dosing module.

The reaction in microreactors was a recirculating reaction. The reaction mixture was prepared by dissolving 1a (1.67g, 8 mmol), 3b (3.68 g, 32 mmol), and  $H_2$ TPP (24 mg, 0.04 mmol) in dioxane (total volume of 20 mL) in a reaction tube (tube no. 1, 50 mL) with the

assist of ultrasound. Next, the reaction mixture (1.00 mL/min) and O<sub>2</sub> (10.0 mL/min, calculated at standard state (298.15 K, 1 atm)) were inlet to the microreactors with the dosing module. A back pressure regulator (0–20 bar) connected to the outlet of the lab photo reaction module was used to maintain the pressure at 10 bar. The outlet of the back pressure regulator was directly attached to the original reaction tube (tube no. 1, the reaction tube was connected to air with a pipe to release the excess O<sub>2</sub> and relieve pressure quickly), and the reaction mixture was circulated. O<sub>2</sub> was continuously inlet to the microreactors in the full process (without reuse).

total residence time = 
$$N \times \frac{V_1}{v_{\text{liq},R} + v_{\text{gas},R}}$$
  
total time =  $N \times \frac{V_2}{V_2}$ 

 $v_{\rm liq,R}$ 

Therefore:

total residence time = total time 
$$\times \frac{V_1}{V_2} \times \frac{\nu_{\text{liq},\text{R}}}{\nu_{\text{liq},\text{R}} + \nu_{\text{oas,R}}}$$

where *N* refers to the number of the reaction cycles.  $v_{\text{liq,R}}$  and  $v_{\text{gas,R}}$  refer to the flow rate of the reaction mixture and O<sub>2</sub> (at 10 bar), respectively,  $v_{\text{liq,R}} = 1.00 \text{ mL/min}$  and  $v_{\text{gas,R}} = 1.0 \text{ mL/min}$  (10 bar).  $V_1$  refers to the total volume of the reaction module,  $V_1 = 8.4 \text{ mL}$ .  $V_2$  refers to the total volume of the reaction mixture including the reaction mixture in the reaction module, in the pipe, and in the reaction tube,  $V_2 = 20 \text{ mL}$ .

Therefore:

total residence time = total time  $\times$  0.21

The product was isolated by the following experimental procedures: first we added 200 mL of  $CH_2Cl_2$  to the reaction mixture, then we washed with HCl aqueous solution (1 mol/L) and saturated brine solution, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, removed the solvent by evaporating under reduced pressure, and dried in vacuo to obtain the crude product (yield of 94%). We removed the H<sub>2</sub>TPP by adding a mixture solution of MeOH/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (to remove the H<sub>2</sub>TPP). We filtered and removed the solvent by evaporating under reduced pressure by evaporating under reduced pressure. We purified it by chromatography (silica gel) to afford the final product (yield of 90%).

Method To Determine the Crude Yields. The NMR yield was determined by the normalization method of peaks area shown in Figure S1.

Singlet Oxygen Quantum Yields. The singlet oxygen quantum yields (Table S3) were determined according to Zhao's literature.<sup>107</sup> The quantum yield of singlet oxygen of the each photosensitizer was obtained by measuring the degradation of 1,3-diphenylisobenzofuran (DPBF) in the presence of the photosensitizers and light. A mixed solution of the photosensitizer (5  $\mu$ M) and DPBF (50  $\mu$ M) in DMF was irradiated with a white light (LEDs, 10 W), and the absorption value at 417 nm was determined by using a UV–vis spectrophotometer (UV-9000S, China) every 20 s in 2 min. The control group of adding methylene blue was conducted under the same conditions ( $\Phi_{ref} = 0.49$ ). The singlet oxygen quantum yield was calculated by the equation: $\Phi_{PS} = \Phi_{ref} \times \frac{k_{PS}}{k_{ref}}$ . The observed rate constants ( $k_{obs}$ ) were calculated from  $\ln(A_0/A) = k_{obs} \times t$ , where  $A_0$  and A represent the ultraviolet visible absorbance at time 0 and time t, respectively.

#### CALCULATIONS

**General.** All calculations were performed with the Gaussian 09 program.<sup>108</sup> The M06 functional<sup>109,110</sup> was used for geometry optimization and frequency calculations without any symmetry or geometrical constraints. The 6-31+G(d,p) basis set was used for C, H, N, and O atoms. To obtain more accurate energies, single-point energy calculations were performed with a larger basis set, 6-311++G(d,p) for C, H,

N, and O atoms. The solvation energies were calculated on the basis of gas-phase optimized geometries by using the CPCM solvation model, and toluene was taken as the solvent ( $\varepsilon = 2.37$ ).<sup>111</sup> The free energy in solution obtained from such a single-point calculation, including the Gibbs free energy correction from the frequency calculation, was used for the description of energy profiles.

## ASSOCIATED CONTENT

## **Supporting Information**

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.8b01710.

Tables S1 and S2; Figures S1–S10; Cartesian coordinates of all optimized stationary points together with their single-point energy (au) in solution and the imaginary frequencies (cm<sup>-1</sup>) of transition states; GC–MS for the reaction system of 1r and 1s; copies of <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of 1a–1q, 1t–1u, 2a–2q, and 3c–3o; HRMS spectra of 1a, 2a, and 3c, 3e–3g, 3i–3l; and copies of <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of Mes-Acr<sup>+</sup> and Aza-BODIPY (PDF)

## AUTHOR INFORMATION

## Corresponding Authors

\*E-mail: mengqw@dlut.edu.cn. \*E-mail: luoyi@dlut.edu.cn.

#### ORCID 0

Qingwei Meng: 0000-0002-1743-2518 Yi Luo: 0000-0001-6390-8639

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (nos. 21176041, 21476041, U1608224, and 61633006). We also thank the State Key Laboratory of Fine Chemicals for their support and the Network and Information Center for part of the computational resources.

## **REFERENCES**

(1) Palmisano, G.; Augugliaro, V.; Pagliaro, M.; Palmisano, L. Photocatalysis: a promising route for 21st century organic chemistry. *Chem. Commun.* **2007**, 3425–3437.

(2) Schultz, D. M.; Yoon, T. P. Solar Synthesis: Prospects in Visible Light Photocatalysis. *Science* **2014**, *343*, 985.

(3) Hennig, H. Semiconductor Photocatalysis: Principles and Applications. By Horst Kisch. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 4429–4429.

(4) Higuchi, T.; Heide, C.; Ullmann, K.; Weber, H. B.; Hommelhoff, P. Light-field-driven currents in graphene. *Nature* **2017**, *550*, 224.

(5) Lauret, C. Epoxy ketones as versatile building blocks in organic synthesis. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2001**, *12*, 2359–2383.

(6) Zhu, Y. G.; Wang, Q.; Cornwall, R. G.; Shi, Y. Organocatalytic Asymmetric Epoxidation and Aziridination of Olefins and Their Synthetic Applications. *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 8199–8256.

(7) Tuladhar, A.; Rein, K. S. Manumycin A Is a Potent Inhibitor of Mammalian Thioredoxin Reductase-1 (TrxR-1). ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 2018, 9, 318–322.

(8) Mehta, G.; Sengupta, S. Progress in the total synthesis of epoxyquinone natural products: An update. *Tetrahedron* **2017**, *73*, 6223–6247.

(9) Li, C.; Porco, J. A. Synthesis of Epoxyquinol A and Related Molecules: Probing Chemical Reactivity of Epoxyquinol Dimers and 2H-Pyran Precursors. *J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, *70*, 6053–6065.

(10) Sekizawa, R.; Ikeno, S.; Nakamura, H.; Naganawa, H.; Matsui, S.; Iinuma, H.; Takeuchi, T. Panepophenanthrin, from a Mushroom Strain, a Novel Inhibitor of the Ubiquitin-Activating Enzyme. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2002**, *65*, 1491–1493.

(11) Wipf, P.; Coish, P. D. G. Total Synthesis of (±)-Nisamycin. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 5053-5061.

(12) Day, D. P.; Sellars, P. B. Recent Advances in Iminium-Salt-Catalysed Asymmetric Epoxidation. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2017, 2017, 1034–1044.

(13) De Faveri, G.; Ilyashenko, G.; Watkinson, M. Recent advances in catalytic asymmetric epoxidation using the environmentally benign oxidant hydrogen peroxide and its derivatives. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, 40, 1722–1760.

(14) Herchl, R.; Waser, M. Stereoselective cyclization reactions under phase-transfer catalysis. *Tetrahedron* **2014**, *70*, 1935–1960.

(15) Ujwaldev, S. M.; Sindhu, K. S.; Thankachan, A. P.; Anilkumar, G. Recent developments and perspectives in the ruthenium-catalyzed olefin epoxidation. *Tetrahedron* **2016**, *72*, 6175–6190.

(16) Krishnan, K. K.; Thomas, A. M.; Sindhu, K. S.; Anilkumar, G. Recent advances and perspectives in the manganese-catalysed epoxidation reactions. *Tetrahedron* **2016**, *72*, 1–16.

(17) A. Bentley, P.; Bergeron, S.; W. Cappi, M.; E. Hibbs, D.; B. Hursthouse, M.; C. Nugent, T.; Pulido, R.; M. Roberts, S.; Eduardo Wu, L. Asymmetric epoxidation of enones employing polymeric  $\alpha$ -amino acids in non-aqueous media. *Chem. Commun.* **1997**, 739–740.

(18) dos Santos, A. A.; Wendler, E. P.; Marques, F. d. A.; Simonelli, F. Microwave-Accelerated Epoxidation of alpha,beta-Unsaturated Ketones with Urea- Hydrogen Peroxide. *Lett. Org. Chem.* **2004**, *1*, 47–49.

(19) Huang, Y.; Liu, Z.; Gao, G.; Xiao, G.; Du, A.; Bottle, S.; Sarina, S.; Zhu, H. Stable Copper Nanoparticle Photocatalysts for Selective Epoxidation of Alkenes with Visible Light. *ACS Catal.* **2017**, *7*, 4975–4985.

(20) Tebandeke, E.; Coman, C.; Guillois, K.; Canning, G.; Ataman, E.; Knudsen, J.; Wallenberg, L. R.; Ssekaalo, H.; Schnadt, J.; Wendt, O. F. Epoxidation of olefins with molecular oxygen as the oxidant using gold catalysts supported on polyoxometalates. *Green Chem.* **2014**, *16*, 1586–1593.

(21) Corma, A.; Dominguez, I.; Domenech, A.; Fornes, V.; Gomez-Garcia, C. J.; Rodenas, T.; Sabater, M. J. Enantioselective epoxidation of olefins with molecular oxygen catalyzed by gold(III): A dual pathway for oxygen transfer. *J. Catal.* **2009**, *265*, 238–244.

(22) Shiraishi, Y.; Morishita, M.; Hirai, T. Acetonitrile-assisted highly selective photocatalytic epoxidation of olefins on Ti-containing silica with molecular oxygen. *Chem. Commun.* **2005**, 5977–5979.

(23) Pozzi, G.; Montanari, F.; Rispens, M. T. Epoxidation of olefins by molecular oxygen using perfluorocarbons as reaction media. *Synth. Commun.* **1997**, *27*, 447–452.

(24) Sun, Y.; Xi, Z. W.; Cao, G. Y. Epoxidation of olefins catalyzed by [pi-C5H5NC16H33](3) [PW4O16] with molecular oxygen and a recyclable reductant 2-ethylanthrahydroquinone. *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **2001**, *166*, 219–224.

(25) Krishnan, R.; Vancheesan, S. Polynuclear manganese complexes catalyzed epoxidation of olefins with molecular oxygen. *J. Mol. Catal.* A: Chem. **2002**, 185, 87–95.

(26) Krasnovsky, A. A. Primary mechanisms of photoactivation of molecular oxygen. History of development and the modern status of research. *Biochemistry* **2007**, *72*, 1065–U1023.

(27) Schenck, G. O.; Eggert, H.; Denk, W. Photochemische Reaktionen III. Über die Bildung von Hydroperoxyden bei photosensibilisierten Reaktionen von O2 mit geeigneten Akzeptoren, insbesondere mit  $\alpha$ - und  $\beta$ -Pinen. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **1953**, 584, 177–198.

(28) Foote, C. S. Photosensitized oxygenations and the role of singlet oxygen. Acc. Chem. Res. 1968, 1, 104-110.

(30) Teramura, K.; Tsuneoka, H.; Ogura, K.; Sugimoto, T.; Shishido, T.; Tanaka, T. Photoactivation of Molecular Oxygen by an Iron(III) Porphyrin with a Magnesium Aluminum Layered Double Hydroxide for the Aerobic Epoxidation of Cyclohexene. *ChemCatChem* **2014**, *6*, 2276–2281.

(31) Teramura, K.; Ogura, K.; Sugimoto, T.; Tsuneoka, H.; Shishido, T.; Tanaka, T. Photocatalytic Aerobic Epoxidation of Alkenes under Visible Light Irradiation by an Iron(III) Porphyrin with Mg-Al Hydrotalcite Anionic Clay. *Chem. Lett.* **2009**, *38*, 1098– 1099.

(32) Khenkin, A. M.; Neumann, R. Aerobic photochemical oxidation in mesoporous Ti-MCM-41: epoxidation of alkenes and oxidation of sulfides. *Catal. Lett.* **2000**, *68*, 109–111.

(33) Liu, Y.-C.; Wu, Z.-L. Switchable asymmetric bio-epoxidation of  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated ketones. *Chem. Commun. (Cambridge, U. K.)* **2016**, *52*, 1158–1161.

(34) Taguchi, M.; Nagasawa, Y.; Yamaguchi, E.; Tada, N.; Miura, T.; Itoh, A. One-pot epoxidation of alkenes using aerobic photoperoxidation of toluenes. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2016**, *57*, 230–232.

(35) Enders, D.; Zhu, J.; Kramps, L. Zinc-mediated asymmetric epoxidation of  $\alpha$ -enones. *Liebigs Ann./Recl.* **1997**, 1997, 1101–1113.

(36) Mandal, A. K.; Iqbal, J. A versatile aerobic oxidation of organic compounds catalyzed by cobalt(II) porphyrins. *Tetrahedron* **1997**, *53*, 7641–7648.

(37) Liu, Y.; An, Z.; Zhao, L.; Liu, H.; He, J. Enhanced Catalytic Efficiency in the Epoxidation of Alkenes for Manganese Complex Encapsulated in the Hydrophobic Interlayer Region of Layered Double Hydroxides. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2013**, *52*, 17821–17828.

(38) Gao, W.; Li, S.; Huo, H.; Li, F.; Yang, Y.; Li, X.; Wang, X.; Tang, Y.; Li, R. Investigation of the crystal structure of Cu-Fe bimetal oxide and their catalytic activity for the Baeyer-Villiger oxidation reaction. *Mol. Catal.* **2017**, *439*, 108–117.

(39) Loeker, F.; Leitner, W. Steel-promoted oxidation of olefins in supercritical carbon dioxide using dioxygen in the presence of aldehydes. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2000**, *6*, 2011–2015.

(40) Kawai, H.; Okusu, S.; Yuan, Z.; Tokunaga, E.; Yamano, A.; Shiro, M.; Shibata, N. Enantioselective Synthesis of Epoxides Having a Tetrasubstituted Trifluoromethylated Carbon Center: Methylhydrazine-Induced Aerobic Epoxidation of beta,beta-Disubstituted Enones. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 2221–2225.

(41) Cui, L.; Furuhashi, S.; Tachikawa, Y.; Tada, N.; Miura, T.; Itoh, A. Efficient generation of hydrogen peroxide by aerobic photooxidation of 2-propanol using anthraquinone-2-carboxylic acid and one-pot epoxidation of alpha,beta-unsaturated ketones. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2013**, *54*, 162–165.

(42) Ohno, T.; Nakabeya, K.; Matsumura, M. Epoxidation of olefins on photoirradiated titanium dioxide powder using molecular oxygen as an oxidant. *J. Catal.* **1998**, *176*, 76–81.

(43) Tada, N.; Okubo, H.; Miura, T.; Itoh, A. Metal-Free Epoxidation of Alkenes with Molecular Oxygen and Benzaldehyde under Visible Light Irradiation. *Synlett* **2009**, 2009, 3024–3026.

(44) Fujiya, A.; Kariya, A.; Nobuta, T.; Tada, N.; Miura, T.; Itoh, A. Photooxidative Cleavage of Aromatic Alkenes into Aldehydes Using Catalytic Iodine and Molecular Oxygen under Visible Light Irradiation. *Synlett* **2014**, *25*, 884–888.

(45) Liu, X.; Cong, T. T.; Liu, P.; Sun, P. P. Synthesis of 1,2-Diketones via a Metal-Free, Visible-Light-Induced Aerobic Photooxidation of Alkynes. J. Org. Chem. **2016**, *81*, 7256–7261.

(46) Mesa, C. A.; Kafizas, A.; Francàs, L.; Pendlebury, S. R.; Pastor, E.; Ma, Y.; Le Formal, F.; Mayer, M. T.; Grätzel, M.; Durrant, J. R. Kinetics of Photoelectrochemical Oxidation of Methanol on Hematite Photoanodes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 11537–11543.

(47) Chen, Y.-Z.; Wang, Z. U.; Wang, H.; Lu, J.; Yu, S.-H.; Jiang, H.-L. Singlet Oxygen-Engaged Selective Photo-Oxidation over Pt Nanocrystals/Porphyrinic MOF: The Roles of Photothermal Effect and Pt Electronic State. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2017**, 139, 2035–2044. (48) Ding, W.; Lu, L.-Q.; Zhou, Q.-Q.; Wei, Y.; Chen, J.-R.; Xiao, W.-J. Bifunctional Photocatalysts for Enantioselective Aerobic Oxidation of  $\beta$ -Ketoesters. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2017**, 139, 63–66.

(49) Okada, A.; Nagasawa, Y.; Yamaguchi, T.; Yamaguchi, E.; Tada, N.; Miura, T.; Itoh, A. Synthesis of 2-hydroxymalonic acid derivatives via tandem oxidation and rearrangement by photo organic catalysis. *RSC Adv.* **2016**, *6*, 42596–42599.

(50) Wu, W.; Zhan, L.; Fan, W.; Song, J.; Li, X.; Li, Z.; Wang, R.; Zhang, J.; Zheng, J.; Wu, M.; Zeng, H. Cu–N Dopants Boost Electron Transfer and Photooxidation Reactions of Carbon Dots. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 6540–6544.

(51) Ghogare, A. A.; Greer, A. Using Singlet Oxygen to Synthesize Natural Products and Drugs. *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 9994–10034.

(52) Orfanopoulos, M.; Stratakis, M.; Elemes, Y.; Jensen, F. Do Rotational Barriers Dictate the Regioselectivity in the Ene Reactions of Singlet Oxygen and Triazolinedione with Alkenes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1991**, *113*, 3180–3181.

(53) Stephenson, L. M.; Grdina, M. J.; Orfanopoulos, M. Mechanism of the Ene Reaction between Singlet Oxygen and Olefins. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1980**, *13*, 419–425.

(54) Yamaguchi, K.; Fueno, T.; Saito, I.; Matsuura, T. On the Mechanism of Ene Reaction of Electron-Rich Olefins with Singlet Oxygen - Abinitio Mo Calculations. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1980**, *21*, 4087–4090.

(55) Frimer, A. A. Reaction of Singlet Oxygen with Olefins - Question of Mechanism. *Chem. Rev.* **1979**, 79, 359–387.

(56) Adam, W.; Bosio, S. G.; Turro, N. J.; Wolff, B. T. Enecarbamates as Selective Substrates in Oxidations: Chiral-Auxiliary-Controlled Mode Selectivity and Diastereoselectivity in the [2 + 2] Cycloaddition and Ene Reaction of Singlet Oxygen and in the Epoxidation by DMD and mCPBA. *J. Org. Chem.* **2004**, *69*, 1704–1715.

(57) Andrea, M.; Carlo, C.; Giovanni, G.; Glauco, T. Theoretical Study on the Reactivity and Regioselectivity of the Ene Reaction of  $1\Delta g$  O2 with  $\alpha,\beta$ -Unsaturated Carbonyl Compounds. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, 2005, 3643–3649.

(58) Adam, W.; Bosio, S. G.; Turro, N. J. Highly Diastereoselective Dioxetane Formation in the Photooxygenation of Enecarbamates with an Oxazolidinone Chiral Auxiliary: Steric Control in the [2 + 2] Cycloaddition of Singlet Oxygen through Conformational Alignment. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 8814–8815.

(59) Eske, A.; Goldfuss, B.; Griesbeck, A. G.; de Kiff, A.; Kleczka, M.; Leven, M.; Neudörfl, J.-M.; Vollmer, M. Ene–Diene Transmissive Cycloaddition Reactions with Singlet Oxygen: The Vinylogous Gem Effect and Its Use for Polyoxyfunctionalization of Dienes. *J. Org. Chem.* **2014**, *79*, 1818–1829.

(60) Adam, W.; Prein, M.  $\pi$ -Facial Diastereoselectivity in the [4 + 2] Cycloaddition of Singlet Oxygen as a Mechanistic Probe. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1996**, *29*, 275–283.

(61) Herz, W.; Juo, R. R. Photooxygenation of 1-Vinylcycloalkenes - the Competition between Ene Reaction and Cyclo-Addition of Singlet Oxygen. *J. Org. Chem.* **1985**, *50*, 618–627.

(62) Hajimohammadi, M.; Ghasemi, H. Efficient photocatalytic oxygenation of alkenes by water soluble sensitizer in organic-water biphasic media. *J. Porphyrins Phthalocyanines* **2016**, *20*, 670–676.

(63) Kalajahi, S. S. M.; Hajimohammadi, M.; Safari, N. Highly efficient, green and solvent-free photooxygenation of alkenes by air and visible light or sunlight in the presence of porphyrin sensitizers. *React. Kinet., Mech. Catal.* **2014**, *113*, 629–640.

(64) Hajimohammadi, M.; Safari, N. Photooxygenation of alkenes by molecular oxygen in the presence of porphyrins and chlorin sensitizers under visible light irradiation. *J. Porphyrins Phthalocyanines* **2010**, *14*, 639–645.

(65) Lian, M. M.; Li, Z.; Cai, Y. C.; Meng, Q. W.; Gao, Z. X. Enantioselective Photooxygenation of ss-Keto Esters by Chiral Phase-Transfer Catalysis using Molecular Oxygen. *Chem. - Asian J.* **2012**, *7*, 2019–2023.

(66) Wang, Y.; Yin, H.; Tang, X.; Wu, Y.; Meng, Q.; Gao, Z. A series of cinchona-derived N-oxide phase-transfer catalysts: application to

the photo-organocatalytic enantioselective  $\alpha$ -hydroxylation of  $\beta$ dicarbonyl compounds. J. Org. Chem. **2016**, 81, 7042–7050.

(67) Colmenares, J. C.; Varma, R. S.; Nair, V. Selective photocatalysis of lignin-inspired chemicals by integrating hybrid nanocatalysis in microfluidic reactors. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2017, 46, 6675–6686.

(68) P, M. J.; T, S. M.; L, B. S.; F, J. K. An Integrated Microreactor System for Self-Optimization of a Heck Reaction: From Micro- to Mesoscale Flow Systems. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 7076– 7080.

(69) Fan, W. G.; Li, P. X. Visible-Light-Mediated 1,2-Acyl Migration: The Reaction of Secondary Enamino Ketones with Singlet Oxygen. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 12201–12204.

(70) Ouannes, C.; Wilson, T. Quenching of singlet oxygen by tertiary aliphatic amines. Effect of DABCO (1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]-octane). J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1968**, 90, 6527–6528.

(71) Williams, G. O. S.; Euser, T. G.; Russell, P. S. J.; MacRobert, A. J.; Jones, A. C. Highly Sensitive Luminescence Detection of Photosensitized Singlet Oxygen within Photonic Crystal Fibers. *ChemPhotoChem.* **2018**, *2*, 616–621.

(72) Schmink, J. R.; Holcomb, J. L.; Leadbeater, N. E. Testing the Validity of Microwave-Interfaced, in Situ Raman Spectroscopy as a Tool for Kinetic Studies. *Org. Lett.* **2009**, *11*, 365–368.

(73) Moulton, B. E.; Duhme-Klair, A. K.; Fairlamb, I. J. S.; Lynam, J. M.; Whitwood, A. C. A Rationale for the Linear Correlation of Aryl Substituent Effects in Iron(0) Tricarbonyl Complexes Containing  $\alpha,\beta$ -Unsaturated Enone (Chalcone) Ligands. *Organometallics* **200**7, 26, 6354–6365.

(74) Hayat, F.; Salahuddin, A.; Umar, S.; Azam, A. Synthesis, characterization, antiamoebic activity and cytotoxicity of novel series of pyrazoline derivatives bearing quinoline tail. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2010**, *45*, 4669–4675.

(75) Varga, S.; Jakab, G.; Drahos, L.; Holczbauer, T.; Czugler, M.; Soós, T. Double Diastereocontrol in Bifunctional Thiourea Organocatalysis: Iterative Michael–Michael–Henry Sequence Regulated by the Configuration of Chiral Catalysts. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 5416– 5419.

(76) Wilhelm, A.; Lopez-Garcia, L. A.; Busschots, K.; Fröhner, W.; Maurer, F.; Boettcher, S.; Zhang, H.; Schulze, J. O.; Biondi, R. M.; Engel, M. 2-(3-Oxo-1,3-diphenylpropyl)malonic Acids as Potent Allosteric Ligands of the PIF Pocket of Phosphoinositide-Dependent Kinase-1: Development and Prodrug Concept. J. Med. Chem. 2012, 55, 9817–9830.

(77) Jiang, Q.; Jia, J.; Xu, B.; Zhao, A.; Guo, C.-C. Iron-Facilitated Oxidative Radical Decarboxylative Cross-Coupling between  $\alpha$ -Oxocarboxylic Acids and Acrylic Acids: An Approach to  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -Unsaturated Carbonyls. J. Org. Chem. **2015**, 80, 3586–3596.

(78) Hermange, P.; Gøgsig, T. M.; Lindhardt, A. T.; Taaning, R. H.; Skrydstrup, T. Carbonylative Heck Reactions Using CO Generated ex Situ in a Two-Chamber System. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 2444–2447.

(79) Qian, Q.; Tan, Y.; Zhao, B.; Feng, T.; Shen, Q.; Yao, Y. Asymmetric Epoxidation of Unsaturated Ketones Catalyzed by Heterobimetallic Rare Earth–Lithium Complexes Bearing Phenoxy-Functionalized Chiral Diphenylprolinolate Ligand. *Org. Lett.* **2014**, *16*, 4516–4519.

(80) Sha, Q.; Liu, H. X.; Wei, Y. Y. Design and Synthesis of 3-Trifluoromethyl-3H-pyrazoles and Further Investigations of Their Transformation to 1H-Pyrazoles. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2014**, 2014, 7707–7715.

(81) Sparling, B. A.; Moslin, R. M.; Jamison, T. F. SmI2-Promoted Reformatsky-Type Coupling Reactions in Exceptionally Hindered Contexts. *Org. Lett.* **2008**, *10*, 1291–1294.

(82) De Sarkar, S.; Studer, A. Oxidative Amidation and Azidation of Aldehydes by NHC Catalysis. *Org. Lett.* **2010**, *12*, 1992–1995.

(83) Rehan, M.; Nallagonda, R.; Das, B. G.; Meena, T.; Ghorai, P. Synthesis of Functionalized Benzo[b]furans via Oxidative Cyclization of o-Cinnamyl Phenols. *J. Org. Chem.* **2017**, *82*, 3411–3424.

(84) Geng, X. L.; Wang, Z.; Li, X. Q.; Zhang, C. A simple method for epoxidation of olefins using sodium chlorite as an oxidant without a catalyst. *J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, *70*, 9610–9613.

(85) Yan, P.; Tan, X.; Jing, H.; Duan, S.; Wang, X.; Liu, Z. One Approach to Cyclic Carbonates via a Three-Component Cyclization of Phenacyl Bromide, CO2, and Aldehyde. *J. Org. Chem.* **2011**, *76*, 2459–2464.

(86) Makó, A.; Rapi, Z.; Keglevich, G.; Szöllősy, Á.; Drahos, L.; Hegedűs, L.; Bakó, P. Asymmetric epoxidation of substituted chalcones and chalcone analogues catalyzed by  $\alpha$ -d-glucose- and  $\alpha$ d-mannose-based crown ethers. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2010**, *21*, 919–925.

(87) Wang, B.; Wang, S.; Xia, C.; Sun, W. Highly Enantioselective Epoxidation of Multisubstituted Enones Catalyzed by Non-Heme Iron Catalysts. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2012**, *18*, 7332–7335.

(88) Huang, T.; Lin, L.; Hu, X.; Zheng, J.; Liu, X.; Feng, X. Kinetic resolution of 2,3-epoxy 3-aryl ketones via catalytic asymmetric ringopening with pyrazole derivatives. *Chem. Commun.* **2015**, *51*, 11374–11377.

(89) Li, C.; Shu, X.; Li, L.; Zhang, G.; Jin, R.; Cheng, T.; Liu, G. A Cinchona Alkaloid-Functionalized Mesostructured Silica for Construction of Enriched Chiral  $\beta$ -Trifluoromethyl- $\beta$ -Hydroxy Ketones over An Epoxidation-Relay Reduction Process. *Chem. - Asian J.* **2016**, *11*, 2072–2077.

(90) Demizu, Y.; Yamagata, N.; Nagoya, S.; Sato, Y.; Doi, M.; Tanaka, M.; Nagasawa, K.; Okuda, H.; Kurihara, M. Enantioselective epoxidation of  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -unsaturated ketones catalyzed by stapled helical l-Leu-based peptides. *Tetrahedron* **2011**, *67*, 6155–6165.

(91) Li, S.; Lin, Y.; Cao, J.; Zhang, S. Guanidine/Pd(OAc)2-Catalyzed Room Temperature Suzuki Cross-Coupling Reaction in Aqueous Media under Aerobic Conditions. *J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, *72*, 4067–4072.

(92) Kessler, H.; Leibfritz, D. Nachweis innermolekularer beweglichkeit durch NMR-spektroskopie—XV: Untersuchungen zur inversion am doppelt gebundenen stickstoffatom am beispiel der tetramethylguanidine. *Tetrahedron* **1970**, *26*, 1805–1820.

(93) García-Egido, E.; Fernández, I.; Muñoz, L. Convenient Synthesis of Oxazolidinones and Oxazinones from Allyl and Homoallyl Amines under Mild Conditions. *Synth. Commun.* **2006**, *36*, 3029–3042.

(94) Yang, Y.; Yan, B.; Cheng, X.; Ding, Y.; Tian, X.; Shi, Y.; Le, G. Metabolomic studies on the systemic responses of mice with oxidative stress induced by short-term oxidized tyrosine administration. *RSC Adv.* **2017**, *7*, 28591–28605.

(95) Rogers, R. S.; Rapoport, H. The pKa's of saxitoxin. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 7335-7339.

(96) Zhang, Q.; Guan, J.; Sacci, J.; Ager, A.; Ellis, W.; Milhous, W.; Kyle, D.; Lin, A. J. Unambiguous Synthesis and Prophylactic Antimalarial Activities of Imidazolidinedione Derivatives. *J. Med. Chem.* **2005**, *48*, 6472–6481.

(97) Tsuchiya, Y.; Kumamoto, T.; Ishikawa, T. Guanidines as a Nitrogen Source for Direct Conversion of Epoxides to Aziridines. *J. Org. Chem.* **2004**, *69*, 8504–8505.

(98) Isobe, T.; Ishikawa, T. 2-Chloro-1,3-dimethylimidazolinium Chloride. 1. A Powerful Dehydrating Equivalent to DCC. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 6984–6988.

(99) Zhao, Y.; Wang, G.; Li, Y.; Wang, S.; Li, Z. Design, Synthesis and Insecticidal Activities of Novel N-Oxalyl Derivatives of Neonicotinoid Compound. *Chin. J. Chem.* **2010**, *28*, 475–479.

(100) Quast, H.; Ross, K. H.; Philipp, G.; Hagedorn, M.; Hahn, H.; Banert, K. Syntheses and 15N NMR Spectra of Iminodiaziridines – Ring-Expansions of 1-Aryl-3-iminodiaziridines to 1H- and 3aH-Benzimidazoles, 2H-Indazoles, and 5H-Dibenzo[d,f][1,3]diazepines. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, 2009, 3940–3952.

(101) Porcheddu, A.; Giacomelli, G.; Piredda, I. Parallel Synthesis of Trisubstituted Formamidines: A Facile and Versatile Procedure. *J. Comb. Chem.* **2009**, *11*, 126–130.

(102) Marchenko, A.; Koidan, G.; Hurieva, A.; Savateev, A.; Kostyuk, A. Facile synthesis of C-phosphanylformamidines via a carbene pathway. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2013**, *54*, 5671–5673.

(103) Meyers, A. I.; Edwards, P. D.; Rieker, W. F.; Bailey, T. R. alpha.-Amino carbanions via formamidines. Alkylation of pyrrolidines, piperidines, and related heterocycles. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1984**, *106*, 3270–3276.

(104) Cereda, E.; Bellora, E.; Donetti, A. A New, Convenient Synthesis of N-Aryl-N-alkylformamidines and N-Aryl-N,N-dialkylformamidines. *Synthesis* **1986**, *1986*, 288–291.

(105) Wang, L.; Wu, F.; Chen, J.; Nicewicz, D. A.; Huang, Y. Visible-Light-Mediated [4 + 2] Cycloaddition of Styrenes: Synthesis of Tetralin Derivatives. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 6896–6900.

(106) Nepomnyashchii, A. B.; Bröring, M.; Ahrens, J.; Bard, A. J. Synthesis, Photophysical, Electrochemical, and Electrogenerated Chemiluminescence Studies. Multiple Sequential Electron Transfers in BODIPY Monomers, Dimers, Trimers, and Polymer. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2011**, 133, 8633–8645.

(107) Cao, L.; Guo, X.; Wang, L.; Wang, S.; Li, Y.; Zhao, W. Synthesis and in vitro phototoxicity of novel  $\pi$ -extension derivatives of chlorin e6. *New J. Chem.* **2017**, *41*, 14279–14287.

(108) Frisch, M. J.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Caricato, M.; Li, X.; Hratchian, H. P.; Izmaylov, A. F.; Bloino, J.; Zheng, G.; Sonnenberg, J. L.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Vreven, T.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr.; Peralta, J. E.; Ogliaro, F.; Bearpark, M.; Heyd, J. J.; Brothers, E.; Kudin, K. N.; Staroverov, V. N.; Kobayashi, R.; Normand, J.; Raghavachari, K.; Rendell, A.; Burant, J. C.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Cossi, M.; Rega, N.; Millam, N. J.; Klene, M.; Knox, J. E.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Martin, R. L.; Morokuma, K.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Farkas, Ö.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cioslowski, J.; Fox, D. J. Gaussian 09, revision A.02; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2009.

(109) Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D. G. Density functionals with broad applicability in chemistry. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2008, *41*, 157–167.

(110) Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D. G. The M06 suite of density functionals for main group thermochemistry, thermochemical kinetics, non-covalent interactions, excited states, and transition elements: two new functionals and systematic testing of four M06-class functionals and 12 other functionals. *Theor. Chem. Acc.* **2008**, *120*, 215–241.

(111) Cossi, M.; Rega, N.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V. Energies, structures, and electronic properties of molecules in solution with the C-PCM solvation model. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2003**, *24*, 669–681.

Article